POETRY: TERMS AND MEANINGS

The English usage of the term “map” in its modern technical sense as a two-dimensional graphic representation of the earth’s surface dates at least to 1527, although this primary meaning appears infrequently in poetry and drama throughout the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, despite the fact that, as of 1600, maps, globes, and atlases had become commonplace; geographical knowledge occupied a central place in the intellectual life of the gentleman, statesman, or man of letters; and the mathematical principles necessary to mapping in its geographic, hydrographic, and astronomical forms were rapidly coalescing into a recognizably modern scientific pursuit.1 For the Elizabethan poet, the “map” functioned as a conceit in a variety of figurative senses, all of which aligned it with the epitome, emblem, portrait, mirror, or digest: it evoked a visual image that encapsulated, in condensed form, emotional states, abstract qualities, or metaphysical ideas. As was the case with these other terms, the figurative use of “map” implied the spatial dimensions inherent in a diagram or other framed visual image, but this spatial sense remained secondary to the primary mimetic or communicative function of the term. Thus for Nicholas Breton, “Religion is sacred pure diuine . . . a heaueny map, a heauenly sign”;2 to Francis Sabie’s Adam in his postlapsarian verse Adam’s Complaint (1596), Eve is a “certaine type, true figure, perfect map / Of future in his postlapsarian verse Adam’s Complaint: The Olde Worldes Tragedie (London, 1613), Gr.
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sumes it within a conventional emblematic usage in his elegy on the Lady Frances, Countess of Hertford: those “that like Julius Caesar seek to measure, / The spacious clymes of the centred round / To fish for kingdoms and to purchase treasure” should instead “behold even in the map of my sad face, / A true Cosmographie of humane woes,” because worldly conquest pales in comparison to the inevitability and permanence of death.7 Both Fulke Greville and Sir Philip Sidney use the image in conventional poetic senses, the former to describe the speaker’s alienation from Grace (“the mappe of death-like life exil’d from louely blisse”),8 the latter Astrophil’s self-revelation through the spoken word, a far more effective image than the Petrarchan conceits used by other lovers (“I can speake what I feele, and feele as much as they, / But thinke that all the Map of my state I display, / When trembling voice brings forth, that I do Stella love.”)9 Thomas Middleton, in *The Wisdome of Solomon Paraphrased* (1597), employs a variation on the typical sonnet conceit that neatly encapsulates the range of its figurative meanings: it is not the face of the speaker that reveals emotion but the face of his lover, whose embarrassed shame becomes a mirror in which he sees reflected his own transgressions: “She is my glasse, my tipe, my forme, my mappe, / The figure of my deede, shape of my thought, / My lifes character, fortune to my happe.”10 In an overlapping sense, poets might refer to the composition itself as a verbal “map” whose purpose is to portray a moral or religious lesson, a variation on the familiar trope of the text-as-mirror that was ubiquitous throughout the literature and art theory of the Renaissance. As late as 1635, George Wither still uses the term “map” as a synonym for the emblem in the dedication of his second book of emblems to Prince James and the Countess of Dorset; Wither offers his book as a “harmeless pleasantnesse” that will “dispose / Their Mindes to vertive” if they “enquire / What things those are, that represented be, / In ev’ry map, or Emblem, which they see.”11

Many of the major Elizabethan poets, however, rarely or never refer to maps, a fact that once again underscores the novelty of elaborate geographical and cartographic conceits in a Jacobean poet such as John Donne: neither Thomas Wyatt nor Henry Howard, the Earl of Surrey, nor George Herbert, for instance, ever employs the map conceit. At least one contemporary of Donne’s, Samuel Daniel, was overtly suspicious of maps, warning in his *Defense of Ryme* (ca. 1603):

> We must not looke vpon the immense course of times past as men ouer-looke spacious and wide countries from off high Mountaines, and are neuer the neere to iudge of the true Nature of the soyle or the particular syte and face of those territories they see. Nor must we thinke, viewing the superficill figure of a region in a Mappe that wee know strait the fashion and place as it is. Or reading an Historie (which is but a Mappe of Men, and dooth no otherwise acquaint vs with the true Substance of Circumstances then a superficill Card dooth the Seaman with a Coast neuer seene, which always pronounced other to the eye than the imagination forcast it), that presently wee know all the world, and can distinctly iudge of times, men, and maners, just as they were.12

As noted earlier, Sidney uses the conventional map conceit only once in his verse, in *Astrophil and Stella* (st. 6), although in Sonnet 91 he compares a glimpse of Stella’s hands, cheeks, or lips to “Models such be wood-globes of glistening skies” (l. 11), because each “peece” is a sign or index of her full, unapproachable beauty, an image that Donne revisits in his “Love’s Progress.” Spenser also uses the map conceit only once, in his translation of Joachim Du Bellay’s *Antiquitez de Rome* (1558), the *Ruines of Rome* (1591), whose twenty-sixth sonnet concludes with an elaborate comparison between the pencil of the draftsman or painter, the level and square of the carpenter, and the “lofie style” and “naming” power of the poet: the mere name of “Rome” itself becomes “th’auncient Plot . . . displayed plaine,” which in turn “The map of all the wide world doth containe.”13 Elsewhere, as Oruch has observed, Spenser’s poetry tends to follow the classical rhetorical tradition “in making topography essentially a figure of speech, in contrast to the antiquarians and historians, who made it a subject unto itself.”14

Even a poet as learned and as conscious of intellectual innovation as Ben Jonson invokes maps extremely rarely: the image makes a passing appearance in the “Song to Atlas” in *Pleasure Reconciled to Virtue* (1618) in a usage that combines the cartographic and the emblematic senses

---

of the term,15 although his "Certaine Verses Written vpon Coryats Crvdities" praises Coryat for the particular decorum with which he translates his travels into narrative, allowing “for each particular mile, / By the scale of his booke, a yard of his stile,”16 and his sharp satire of statesmen who “know the states of Christendome, not the places: / Yt haue they seene the maps, and bought 'hem too, / And understand 'hem, as most chapanen doe” in Epigram CVII, “To Captayne Hvngry” (Ben Jonson, 8:68–69), and the satire of statesmen who “know the states of Christendome, not the places: / Yt haue they seene the maps, and bought 'hem too, / And understand 'hem, as most chapanen doe” in Epigram XCII, “The New Crie,” 8–10 (Ben Jonson, 8:58–59).


POETRY: NEW DEVELOPMENTS

As the different uses by Sidney, Jonson, Spenser, or Marvell indicate, the conventional emblematic senses of the term “map” had begun to converge with its modern technical meaning as an instrument of geographical representation, as well as with the meanings of other technical words associated with measurement and cartography, such as “plot,” a diagram, schematic, or surveyor’s map; “model,” used for wooden globes as well as for images and other constructions, as in Sidney’s Astrophil and Stella 91; and “card,” a chart used for navigational purposes.22 Spenser uses the latter three times in the Faerie Queene, where Guyon is compared to the “Pilot well expert in perilous waue,” who, having lost sight of his “stedfast starre,” “Vpon his card and compas firmes his eye, / The maisters of his long experiment” 23; here the technical instrument becomes a metaphor for an internal and metaphysical moral compass that directs Guyon’s journey (“So Guyon hauing lost his trusty guide” must rely only on the pattern “of his owne vertues, and prayseworthy deeds”) [2.1, 5]. Similarly, when Britomart describes herself as “withouten compasse, or withouten card” (3.2.7.7, my emphasis; see also the description of the Fisher’s boat “that went at will, withouten carde or sayle” [2.8.31.2]), the image indicates a lack of clear purpose or self-conscious moral principle, or at least one that is still in the process of development. But Spenser’s most significant reference to maps as technical instruments occurs outside of a lyric context, in A View of the Present State of Ireland (ca. 1595–96), where Eudoxus interrupts his discourse to draw out “the mapp of Ireland” that will illustrate his proposals for the enforced repopulation of the territory and the transformation of the landscape; this moment, and the text of the View as a whole, has been of particular interest to scholars of Elizabethan colonial efforts in Ireland, a rapidly expanding field in which some of the most innovative analysis of the relationships between cartographic and literary representation has been written.24

Sonnet 44 of Michael Drayton’s sequence Idea (1599), meanwhile, offers a paradigmatic example of how both emblematic and cartographic meanings might be combined in the context of love poetry, and one that links the image to the related term “model”: “Whilst thus my Pen strives to eternize thee, / Age rules my Lines with Wrinkles in my Face, / Where, in the Map of all my Miserie, / Is model’d out the World of my Disgrace” (2: 332.1–4). Drayton had employed the same image in his earlier Matilda (1594); in both cases, the map conceit conflates the marks of care on the human face; the graphic, ruled line of the graphic map or chart; and the regular, measured verse line of the poet.25 Both instances are also contemporary with Maria’s more comic simile in Shakespeare’s Twelfth Night (1601), when she compares Malvolio’s smile, crinkled and striated, to “the new map with the augmentation of the Indies.” 26 Critics have suggested an explicit reference to Edward Wright’s world map, the Hydrographiae descriptio (1599), published in Richard Hakluyt’s Principal Navigations and based on Gerardus Mercator’s projection of 1569, 27 although, like Drayton, Shakespeare had used the image in an entirely conventional way several years earlier, both in “Sonnet 68”
(ca. 1595), where the cheek is “the map of days outworn,” and in the Rape of Lucrece (1594), where the face of Lucrece is “that map which deep impression bears / Of hard misfortune, carved in it with tears.” 28 In using the first sonnet of his Idea (first appearing in the 1619 edition) to develop an extended metaphor of travel, Drayton suggests that the entire sequence that follows does not simply “tell of his [i.e., Drayton’s; he is the “adventurous Sea-farer” of l. 1] Discoverie / How farre he sayl’d, what Countries he had seene, / Proceeding from the Port whence he put forth,” but:

*Shewes by his Compass, how his Course he steer’d,*
*When East, when West, when South, and when by North,*
*As how the Pole to ev’ry place was rear’d,*
*What Capes he doubled, of what Continent,*
*The Gulphes and Straits, that strangely he had past,*
*Where most becalm’d, where with foule Weather spent,*
*And on what Rocks in peril to be cast. . . . (2:311.35 and 6–12, my emphasis)*

The sequence promises a visual overview of love’s progress oriented by the cardinal points. One of John Donne’s several verse epistles to “Mr. T. W” refers to the verse itself in an emblematic sense as “the strict Map of my misery,” 29 but this is by far the most conventional use of the image in Donne, whose work famously elevates maps, globes, and compasses to a new level of metaphysical conceit by combining their well-established and even formulaic meanings with their function as instruments of navigation; in Donne we see most clearly both the sense of genuine novelty that accompanied changes in cartographic representation during the Elizabethan period and the way its influence on the logical and associative habits of poetic composition produced a new interest in the technical aspects of maps, charts, and instruments that became more pronounced during the next century. 30

**DONNE**

Scholarship on Donne’s use of maps and cartographic images has focused on his experience in navigation and overseas military expeditions to Cadiz with Robert Devereux, second earl of Essex, in 1596 and to the Azores with Sir Walter Ralegh in 1597 and has attempted to identify maps and books that might have inspired him: candidates include Humfrey Cole’s map of the Holy Land in the 1568 Bishops’ Bible; medieval tripartite (also known as T-O) maps; Jodocus Hondius the Elder’s 1595 world map (the “Drake Broadside Map”); the cordiform projections of Bernardo Silvano (1511), Oronce Fine (1531 and 1536), or Gerardus Mercator (1538); and the images of the compass engraved by Theodor de Bry in The Mariner’s Mirror (1588), although compass images were common in emblem books and were ubiquitous in the maps of the period, including those in Christopher Saxton’s 1579 atlas or John Speed’s Theatre of the Empire of Great Britaine (1611). 31 Several critics have suggested that Donne’s poetry engages specific technical problems of cartographic projection: the problem of “paradoxical” or “loxodromic” navigation around an oblique, spiraled line, addressed in “A Valediction: Forbidding Mourning”; stereographic projection of double hemispheres, alluded to in both “Love’s Progress” and “The Good-Morrow”; the distortions implied in translating a two-dimensional cartographic representation to a three-dimensional globe and vice versa, resonant in “A Valediction: Of Weeping,” “Hymn to God, My God, in My Sickness,” or the fourth meditation in Devotions upon Emergent Occasions. 32
“Hymn to God,” in particular, demonstrates the difficulty of separating the novelty of early modern cartographic conventions from the persistence of older cosmographical and scholastic traditions in Donne’s work, as several scholars have observed; for Donne the cartographic gaze is as eschatological as it is analytical.33 Such is also the case in “Obsequies to the Lord Harrington’s Brother: To the Countess of Bedford,” in which Donne uses the act of poetic meditation upon the soul of the dead Lord Harrington to make “this place a map of heav’n, my selfe of thee” (1:271.13–14) and uses the map conceit to overlay the historical with the timeless, the particular with the universal, the terrestrial with the celestial, the physical with the metaphysial, microcosm with macrocosm, individual soul with God. Other uses of cartographic and geographical imagery in Donne’s work enable more secular analogies: in “Going to Bed” the speaker is an explorer of the lover’s “America! my new-found-land” (1:120.27); in “The Good-Morrow” the importance of “sea-discoverers” and “maps” is negated by the solipsistic, possessive, and dilating experience of the lovers (1:7.12–14); and in “Love’s Progress” (1:116–19), meanwhile, the nose of the lover is a meridian line between two suns, leaving cheeks like hemispheres and lips like the Canary Islands (ll. 47–53). As the speaker shifts direction and moves upward over the lover’s body from the ground, the foot becomes the “map” for “that part / Which thou dost seek” (ll. 74–75), an “Emblem” (l. 79) and a guide for the progress of the kiss upward toward the “Centrique part” (l. 36), and a striking example of what can only be described as cartographic fetishism, as word substitutes for map, map for foot, foot for mouth, mouth for purse, purse for genitals, all designated throughout the poem by a coy, free-floating demonstrative “this” (l. 38).

Milton

After Donne, the most significant convergence between cartography and seventeenth-century poetics occurs in the work of John Milton, whose interest in geography has been well documented; in Paradise Lost (1667) it becomes possible to demonstrate in detail a direct relationship between the act of literary composition and the consultation of specific atlases, travel narratives, and histories, many published with elaborate maps.34 In his treatise Of Education (1644) Milton recommended that students read classical writers, such as Pomponius Mela, Pliny the Elder, Caius Julius Solinus, and Thomas Geminus, as well as modern geographers, such as Pierre d’Avity (in particular, his Les Estats du Monde [1614]) in the original, not simply for geographical information but as a component of their linguistic training.35 With these readings the student should “learn in any modern Author, the use of the Globes, and all the maps, first with the old names; and then with the new,”36 should undertake exercises in the “instrumentall science of Trigonometry, and from thence to Fortification, Architecture, Enginiry, or navigation,” and “procure . . . the helpfull experiences of . . . Architects[,] Engineers, Mariners, Anatomists. . . .”37 Even after lapsing into complete blindness in 1652, Milton continued to purchase atlases, writing to his friend Peter Heimbach in Italy in 1656 to complain about the price—130 florins—of a multivolume atlas that Heimbach was to purchase for him: “Since to me, blind, pictured maps could hardly be useful, surveying as I do the actual globe with unseeing eyes, I fear that the more I paid for the book, the more I should mourn my loss. I beg you to do me the further favor to find out . . . how many volumes there are in the whole work and which of the two editions, Blaeu’s or Jansen’s, is the fuller and more accurate.”38 Both Cawley
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and Whiting argue that Milton inquired after the 1649–59 edition of Johannes Janssonius’s Novus atlas, noting that it was the source for Milton’s list of winds in Paradise Lost (bk. 10, 699–706).³⁹

Discussion of Milton’s geographical knowledge has focused on three primary areas: his own exercise in modern geographical writing, A Brief History of Moscovia (1682); Adam’s spectacular survey of the globe in Paradise Lost (bk. 11, 370–411) as well as the many topographical details that Milton used throughout the poem to construct his epic similes; and Satan’s temptation of Christ on the mount in Paradise Regained (bk. 3, 269–321 and bk. 4, 25–80). In A Brief History of Moscovia Milton commends the study of geography as “both profitable and delightful” and offers an account of English exploration of Russia and trade with the Baltic States;⁴⁰ this account closely follows materials gathered in both Richard Hakluyt’s Principal Navigations of 1598 and Samuel Purchas’s Purchas His Pilgrimes (1625) and advertises that it has been “Gather’d from the Writings of several Eye-witnesses.”⁴¹ Adam’s spectacular vision of the world and of biblical history in Paradise Lost (bk. 11) demonstrates a focalizing technique in Milton’s epic narration that links the reader’s knowledge to an act of viewing from a divine, universal perspective that is perfectly congruent with contemporary techniques of cartographic projection, as Adam and Michael “ascend / In the visions of God” to “a hill / Of Paradise the highest, from whose top / The hemisphere of Earth, in clearest ken, / Stretched out to the amplest reach of prospect lay,” where Adam’s “eye might there command wherever stood / City of old or modern fame” (bk. 11, 376–86). Milton here adopted the very technique of “old and new names” he had earlier recommended to his students, juxtaposing a contemporary topography of empire and trade with Adam’s subsequent vision of biblical history.⁴² On the basis of spelling and word placement in the original texts, Milton’s critics and editor’s have each offered convincing arguments for Milton’s knowledge.⁴³ Other likely sources for Milton’s topographical details include Leo Africanus’s Description of Africa in John Pory’s 1600 translation, with accompanying map;⁴⁶ the maps in William Camden’s Britannia (first appearing in the Latin edition of 1607, in English in 1610), with the latter also consulted for Lycidas;⁴⁷ and Samuel Purchas’s Purchas His Pilgrimes (1625).⁴⁸

The topography of the Holy Land presented particular difficulties to Milton, because eye-witness accounts could offer only contemporary details and not direct evidence for the biblical events that were more important to him; as Purchas had commented, “Now the place cannot be found in earth, but is become a common place in mens brains, to macerate and vexe them in the curious search hereof.”⁴⁹ Perhaps for this reason, Milton emphasizes Michael’s purging of Adam’s “visual nerve” with “euphrasy and rue,” which pierce him “even to the inmost seat of mental sight” and “enforced” Adam “to close his eyes” (bk. 11, 414–19), because the vision that follows is spiritual rather an empirical. For his description of paradise—its location in Eden (bk. 4, 208–14) and its incomparable beauty (bk. 4, 268–85)—Milton relied on the maps of paradise and Eden in the 1568 Bishops’ Bible or several later Bibles; on the 1606 edition of Ortelius, with a map of Canaan and a chart of the wanderings of Abraham that resembles Michael’s narration (bk. 12, 135–46); and on a map in Ralegh’s The History of the World (1614).⁴⁰ Other important sources for his geography of Palestine include Thomas Fuller’s The Historie of the Holy Warre (1639) and A Pisgah-Sight of Palestine (1650),⁵¹ Richard Knolles’s Generall Historie of the...
Turkes, 52 and George Sandys’s Relation of a Journey Begun An: Dom: 1610 (1621), a source for “On the Morning of Christ’s Nativity” and for Samson Agonistes as well as for Paradise Lost. 53

Drama

John Gillies has pointed out the essential conceptual proximity between atlas and stage, “theater” and “globe,” during 1570–1640, the peak of England’s dramatic literary production; the preface to the 1606 English translation of Abraham Ortelius’s Theatrum orbis terrarum, in fact, offers his maps as a kind of dramatic performance reduced to graphic form: in “the Mappe being layed before our eyes...we may behold things done, or places where they were done, as if they were at this time present and in doing.” 54 Thomas Dekker also recognized an essential mimetic capacity shared between stage and map, prefacing his Old Fortunatus (1599) with an entreaty that recalls the Chorus passages in Shakespeare’s Henry V (also 1599) but in a more explicit cartographic register:

And for this small Circumference must stand,
For the imagin Sur-face of much land,
Of many kingdomes, and since many a mile,
Should here be measured out: our muse intreates,
Your thoughts to helpe poore Art, and to allow,
That I may serve as Chorus to her scenes,
She begs your pardon, for sheele send me forth,
Not when the lawes of Poesy doe call,
But as the storie needes. 55

The plays of Marlowe, Shakespeare, Jonson, Thomas Heywood, Francis Beaumont and John Fletcher, Richard Brome, William D’Avenant, and John Dryden, to name only the most obvious examples, all demonstrate the appeal that the new geography had to early modern playwrights and audiences alike across all genres, from roman comedy to romance, court masque to revenge tragedy, history play to adventure play. This “cartographic imagination,” as Gillies has called it, is particularly evident at two levels: in the blatant exoticism of the plays’ characterization, from Marlowe’s Tamburlaine, Barabas, and Balthazar to Shakesphere’s Othello, Shylock, and Caliban, Heywood’s Mullisheg, or Beaumont and Fletcher’s Pharamond, the hot-blooded Spanish king of Philaster (1608–10), and in the massive geographic displacements that were possible on a stage that used very little fixed scenery, representing England and France, Wales and Cyprus, Denmark and Morocco with equal facility and often using the flexible scenic structure of performance to juxtapose distant locations in a single play, much as did a printed atlas. 56

At a more local level, the London “city comedies” made famous by John Marston, Thomas Middleton, Thomas Dekker, and Jonson gave a symbolic form to contemporary urban experience by correlating “citizenship” not simply with a sense of legal and institutional belonging but with a physical placement in a realistic urban topography that an audience could recognize from the many maps, views, and chronicles of London from the period, such as the Copperplate map (1550s), the so-called Agas map (1560s); the maps by John Norden (1593) or Georg Braun and Frans Hogenberg (1572); the Jacob’s dream of the angels descending and ascending in ways that closely resemble Milton’s account in bk. 3, 510–15 (p. 123).
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panoramas of Antoon van den Wijngaerde (1543), Norden (ca. 1600), or Claes Janz. Visscher (1616); and John Stow’s Survey of London (1598). The process of coming to knowledge about London’s urbanization during the period was inseparable from the process of generating forms and images in which “the city” as a conceptual entity could be rendered as an object of knowledge, its often conflicting self-deﬁnitions examined, reconciled, or subordinated to one another.57

In several Elizabethan and early Jacobean plays, actual maps or charts probably appeared as props on stage; these were integral to the narrative development of the action and served as connotative or symbolic elements in the plays’ larger poetic structure and ideological programme. Lear’s use of a map to divide his kingdom in the opening scene of Shakespeare’s play (1605–6), for instance, seems little more than an instrumental convenience, but as the premeditated nature of his actions becomes increasingly clear, the map reveals itself to be a rhetorical prop precisely calculated to lend the appearance of neutrality, objectivity, and equality to an action that is obviously strategic and prejudicial. By the end of the scene, the map, like a distorted mirror, has exposed Lear as needy and manipulative—a portrait deepened into dishonesty and outright treachery if we juxtapose against it Shakespeare’s other “division scene” in King Lear, where Hotspur and the rebels use a map to parcel out their anticipated dominion, their literal division of rivers becoming a ﬁgurative reshaping of the English nation and its political topography.58 In a similar way, Marlowe’s Tamburlaine asserts his will to conquest by proclaiming that he will literally redraw the world in his own image, as in a cartographic mirror:

I will confute those blind geographers
That make a triple region of the world,
Excluding regions which I mean to trace
And with this pen reduce them to a map,
Calling the provinces, cities, and towns
After my name and thine, Zenocrate.
Here at Damascus will I make the point
That shall begin the perpendicular.59

This passage, as well as Tamburlaine’s long speech at the close of part 2 (5.3.126–60), in which he calls for a map and uses it to trace out the topographical sequence of his conquests, has been much cited in criticism on the geographical imagination of the early modern period, from Seaton’s early article demonstrating that Marlowe followed Ortelius to the letter to Greenblatt’s seminal new historico-analytic analysis of the play as exemplifying the expansionary ethos of the period to Bartolovich’s more recent Marxist discussion of the play in terms of both early modern primitive accumulation and postmodern globalization.60

Poetics and Maps: Early Modern Social and Intellectual Contexts

In the last two decades, critics have begun to focus more sharply on the shared presuppositions, both formal and ideological, between the map and the literary text and on the historical conditions in which these different kinds of cultural products were embedded, but more remains to be said about the speciﬁc ways in which a culture of “map-
ping” and a culture of literary imagination or “invention” may have informed one another during the period. Two areas of research are likely to prove particularly fruitful in this regard: analysis of contemporary social networks and studies of reading habits. Social relationships among men of letters, engravers, and mathematical practitioners were not unusual, whether at the universities or in London, at Gresham College or at court, as Cormack has shown; in the homes and private libraries of men such as John Dee, who briefly taught Sidney; or simply in meadows, workshops, and other sites of applied mathematical activity: Sidney himself began supervising the fortification works at Dover Harbor as of 1584, where he consulted the “plots” or diagrams of proposed solutions with English mathematical practitioners such as Thomas Digges and Thomas Bedwell, as well as with foreign engineers.61 Gabriel Harvey owned and annotated several works by English practitioners, including Digges, Thomas Blundeville, John Blagrave, the navigator William Bourne, and the Gresham mathematical lecturer Thomas Hood; many were personal friends who introduced him to the world of London instrumentmakers, which he seems to have known intimately. In his *marginialia*, Harvey remarked that while his friend Edmund Spenser was “not completely ignorant of globes and astrolabes,” he was “inexperienced in his astronomical rules, tables, and instruments” and complained that “it is not sufficient for poets, to be superficial humanists: but they must be exquisite artists, & curious vniuersal schollers,” singing out Geoffrey Chaucer, John Lydgate, and Sir Philip Sidney (“Astrophilus”) for their astronomical knowledge.62

Secondly, as Harvey’s annotations show, the reading habits of men like Sidney, Dee, Spenser, Jonson, and Milton were omnivorous and comparative, and they consulted maps, charts, and diagrams alongside the scriptures, histories, classical letters and orations, ethical and political treatises, and coterie of literary compositions and translations that formed the private curriculum of the educated humanist. In *The Boke Named the Gouvernor* (1531), Thomas Elyot wrote of world maps:

> For what pleasure is it, in one houre to beholde those realmes, cities, seas, ryuers, and mountaynes, that vneth in an olde mannes life can nat be iournaid and pursued: what incredible delite is taken in beholding the diuersities of people, beastis, foules, fisshes, trees, frutes, and herbes? To knowe the sondry maners & conditions of people, and the varietie of their natures, and that in a warme studie or perler, without perill of the see, or daunger of longe and paynfull iournayes? I can nat tell, what more pleasure shulde happen to a gentil witte, than to beholde in his owne house euerly thynge that with in all the worlde is contained.

Robert Burton felt similarly, writing in his *Anatomy of Melancholy* (1621):

> Me thinkes it would well please any man to look upon a Geographicall Map . . . Chorographicall, Topographicall delineations, to behold, as it were, all the remote Provinces, Townes, Citties of the World, and never to goe forth of the limits of his study, to measure by the Scale and Compasse, their extent, distance, examine their site. . . . What greater pleasure can there now bee, then to view those elaborate Maps, of Ortelius, Mercator, Hondius, &c. To peruse those booke of Citties, put out by Braunus, and Hogenbergius? To read those exquisite descriptions of Magnus, Munster, Herrera, Laet, Merula, Boterus, Leander Albertus, Camden, Leo Afer, Adricomius, Nic. Gerbelius, &c.6³

Burton himself “never travelled,” he wrote, “but in Mappe or Card, in which my unconfined thoughts have freely expatiated, as having ever beene especially delighted with the study of Cosmography” (1:4); as Chapple has demonstrated, cartography also furnished Burton with a metaphor for his own methodology by offering an overview or anatomical gaze suitable to the discovery of both psyche and earth.65 “To anatomize this humour aright,” he wrote in his preface, “through all the Members of this our Microcosmus, is as great a taske, as to . . . finde out the Quadrature of a Circle, the Creekes and Sounds of the North-East, or North-West passages, &c all out as good a discoverie . . . of Terra Australis Incognita,” and “in undertaking this taske, I hope I shall commit no great errore or indecorum, if all be considered aright, I can vindicate my selfe with Georgius Braunus . . . who . . . drawne by a naturall love . . . of Pictures and Mappes, Prospectives and Corographicall delights, writ that ample Theater of Cities.”66

---


65. Chapple, “Geography of Melancholy,” 103–4; see also Albano, “Visible Bodies.”

66. Burton, *Anatomy of Melancholy*, 1:22–23; see Chapple’s detailed discussion (with further bibliography) of Burton’s analysis of the “melancholy world” as portrayed in the human face of the so-called
The English preface to Ortelius’s *Theatrum orbis terrarum* (1606) argued that the atlas was ideally suited to the reading of history, because notable events could be better understood by situating them topographically; Sir Thomas Elyot advocated the same practice in *The Govern-
or*, and Sidney would recommend it again in a letter to his friend Edward Denny (1580), where he advised the reading of Ortelius, “Sacroboascua & Valerius, or any other of Geography” in one hand and the practice of drawing geometrical “plots” with mathematical instruments in the other. More than half a century later, Milton would still recommend Sacrobosco as a guide to astronomy for his nephew, Edward Phillips. There is reason to suppose that Sidney was recommending a standard conjunction of exercises, partly because Samuel Daniel, as quoted earlier, seems to have been objecting to this exact practice, but also because Richard Mulcaster included drawing along with reading, writing, singing, and playing music in his influential curriculum for the young student, *Elementarie* (1582), because drawing, he believed, was useful to “manie good workmen,” who pursue “architectur, pictur, embrodierie, engraving, statuaire, all modelling, all platforming, . . . besides the learned vse thereof, for Astronomie, Geometrie, Chorographie, Topographie and som other such.” Insofar as written composition and textual analysis both depended on an intimate knowledge of the logical and rhetorical topoi common in the period, the most basic intellectual procedures of the early modern student were in some sense always spatialized: this had always been true of the arts of memory, and it found further emphasis in the work of Petrus Ramus (1515–72), which spatialized analytic thought by breaking texts and subject matter into diagrams, trees, and geometrical figures. Ramist method is in fact re-
breaking texts and subject matter into diagrams, trees, and som other such.”

Thus when Sidney argues in *An Apologie for Poetrie* (ca. 1579–83, published 1595) that “therefore, as in His-
torie, looking for truth, they goe away full fraught with falshood, so in Poesie, looking for fiction, they shal vse the narration but as an imaginatique groundplot of a profitable inuention,” we may hear in the word “ground-
plot” (or “groundplatt,” as the variant Ponsonby edition of the same year reads) not only Sidney’s own experience with instruments and technical drawings of engineering problems, but the spatializing techniques of Ramist analysis and the topoi of logical argument and rhetorical composition—all in a text whose attempts to define the specifically “poetic,” “invented,” or “literary” work quickly rendered it canonical. For Sidney, the “ground-
plot” has become a tool of reading or critical reflection that correlates the act of intellectual analysis with the for-
ward progression of the reader, who “maps” arguments, allegorical figures, or philosophical concepts onto discrete “places,” perceiving them temporally but tabulating them in memory according to a spatial form, or even arranging them according to the model of the atlas or the topographical survey. As Strephon declares to Clausus at the opening of Sidney’s own Arcadia of 1590, gazing at the spot where Urania departed, “Here we finde, that as our remembrance came ever cloathed unto us in the forme of this place, so this place gives new heate to the feaver of our languishing remembrance.... As this place served us to thinke of those thinges, so those thinges serve as places to call to memorie more excellent matters.”

### Conclusion: Toward an Analysis of Early Modern Topографesis

Modern criticism has only recently begun to recover the fundamental mimetic and imaginative congruence between the topographic and verbal “text” that was recognized by early modern authors. If many geographers now commonly approach the map less as a quantitative and referential instrument of social science than as a semiotic or ideological mode of representation with distinct ethical implications and worldviews, literary critics working in a broad range of areas all now find indispensable a scrutiny of the way texts assemble topographic elements to form a complex “poetic” geography. The work of Henri Lefebvre, Gaston Bachelard, Michel de Certeau, Louis Marin, and Michel Foucault has been particularly influential in this project and has served as a common theoretical reference point for scholars working in the fields of both geography and literature. Until recently, geographers have been somewhat quicker to examine how the “map” functions as a “text” than literary critics have been to study the way a “text”—especially the poetic, dramatic, or narrative text traditionally studied in literature departments—might function as a map. By way of conclusion, I shall now suggest some directions in which this analysis might proceed.

---

If we define “topographie” after Arthur Hopton as “an Arte, whereby wee be taught to describe any particular place,” and “topographia” after George Puttenham as the “description . . . of any true place” or of any “counterfeit place” or fictional invention, “which ye shall see in Poetes,” then we may define topographesis as the representation of place in any graphic mode—writing, painting, drawing—and particularly in those forms of expression that tend to be more conventionalized rather than less so. These would obviously include texts of all kinds (“literary” or otherwise), but also images or diagrams and even built structures such as buildings and monuments, particularly when these are approached as “texts” with a coherent semiotic structure and a communicative function, and especially when they are realized in the graphic form of a drawing or a verbal description. The separate accounts by Ben Jonson, Thomas Dekker, and the joiner Stephen Harrison of the songs, speeches, and arches offered to James I in 1604 as he entered the city of London on his accession day provide excellent examples of the latter case; here we encounter an unusually intricate example of topographesis, not least because the “text” survives in three very different versions, each observing different mimetic conventions and implying different political attitudes.

Topographesis must be understood as operating on two distinct levels simultaneously. It functions first at a semantic, semiotic, or symbolic level, the level of “artfulness” or of the formalizing impulse in the textual representation of place. Here topographesis describes the specific way in which any given text integrates the representation of place into the wide variety of interpretive conventions that were typical of different genres, subject matters, or stylistic modes during the period and that formed the core of early modern literary and art theory. It includes questions of vocabulary (register, tone, groups of associated terms, philology), questions of signification (the mimetic presumptions of reference and “meaning,” or of how different semiotic codes represent place in any given text and the ways these differ between a poem, a stage representation, or a prose narrative, for instance), and the analysis of larger-scale meaning-units such as icon, image, or sequences of ideas (place as a particularly saturated element of meaning in a text; the use of multiple places to develop a larger “theme” or argument).

Second and more broadly, however, topographesis denotes the representation or constitution of place in the larger discursive networks typical of a given society or period and its institutional structures: we may call this topographesis in its ideological mode. This level includes, but extends beyond, the semantic or symbolic level, insofar as ideological representation always works through the more narrow formal conventions that structure and give meaning to any given text and that endow certain places with a ready-made significance that may be confirmed, appropriated, challenged, and so forth. The elements and rules of combination that structure topographesis in its ideological mode are obviously quite complex and depend on the configurations of fields of power and knowledge in any given historical moment, as well as on the overdetermined relationship between any text and the society in which it was produced; analysis of these relationships has been the primary focus of new historicist and cultural materialist literary criticism for at least the last two decades.

 Literary examples of topographesis, therefore, would include any work that represents place in a particularly salient, concentrated, or complex semiotic fashion and uses location as a significant component to structure a variety of ideological or cultural scripts. Those texts that adopt formal conventions that are most closely analogous to the techniques of cartographic representation will be of particular importance. These textual conventions would include any technique of objectification, abstraction, reduction, or idealization, chief among them:

1. techniques of framing or bordering a representational field or setting it apart from the object or world to which it is presumed to refer;

2. a referential semiotic mode that posits as conventional a one-to-one relationship of correspondence between the signifier inside the frame of the text and the object or world outside of it;

3. a protoempirical attitude in which the communication of information about the world in an objective way has become a significant component of the work’s formal conventions, as in modes of writing that emphasize description over narrative action;

4. an emphasis on seeing or viewing as the privileged mode of apprehending this objective information about the world; and

5. at the same time, an analytic posture that explicitly relies on artificial projections and models to present information that could not be gained by the naked eye alone:

76. Arthur Hopton, Speculum Topographicum: or the Topographicall Glasse (London, 1611), B; cited by Stan A. E. Mendyk, “Imaginary Topographies / Early Modern Topographesis” for helping me to clarify many of the ideas discussed in this chapter.

i.e., of objects that are too large (continents, seas, oceans), too small (crystalline or molecular structures), too distant (stars, planets), too hidden (mechanical elements or bodily organs, geological layers, interior rooms), or too abstract (social, economic, or physiological processes) to be apprehended directly by the reader and that assist in his or her act of comprehension of them.78

Any early modern text that demonstrates one or more of these characteristics forms a likely candidate for the analysis of how the formal and ideological conventions of maps might have influenced fictional or imaginative writing.

The finest example is surely Sir Thomas More’s *Utopia* (1516, English translation 1551), as evidenced by many details: the engraved maps that accompanied the Latin editions of the text, framing its narrative with a graphic survey or overview; the additional frame narrative of More’s own encounter with Raphael and the subsequent framing of Utopia itself by Raphael’s account; the oscillation between a narrative and an empirical, descriptive mode that surveys the island directly, exposing it through discourse to the reader’s eye and penetrating into the interiors of houses and even into Utopian psychology; the modeling and analysis of contemporary social and economic problems in England, first through dialogue and then through projection, displacement, and inversion onto the cartographic narration of Utopia itself; and the elaborate self-referentiality that draws attention to its own artifice and stages More’s own act of social analysis in the form of ideological critique.79

Some of the most fruitful avenues of research into the relationships among literature, landscape, and mapping have centered on questions of national identity, particularly after Helgerson’s pathbreaking analysis of the Elizabethan “writing” of England and of the critical importance that mapping and surveying played in the formal and ideological conventions of maps.80 In the


80. See Klein, Maps and the Writing of Space, 150–55; McRae, *God Speed the Plough*, 253–61; and Helgerson, Forms of Nationhood, 139–47.

end, the frequency with which Renaissance poets employed the map-image or the number of references to actual maps in literary works is only a broad measure of the influence that innovations in cartography had on contemporary writers, because sustained geographical metaphors and analogies with overseas trade and discovery were so ubiquitous throughout the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries that they formed one of the period’s most characteristic features.
Early Modern England Politics, Religion, and Society under the Tudors and Stuarts (HIST 251) Professor Wrightson provides an introduction to the course. He briefly discusses the main features of the political and social landscape of early modern England and then summarizes the broad social and structural changes that occurred during the period. Professor Wrightson offers some thoughts on the nature of history and the study of history and focuses, in particular, on the benefits of studying the history of early modern England. He notes that the history of Britain in this period affected many oth