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Appendix: List of Richard Carrier’s Books and Chapters
CHAPTER 1

THE FUNCTION OF THE HISTORIAN IN SOCIETY


CHAPTER 2

HISTORY BEFORE 1950


CHAPTER 3

EXPERIMENTAL HISTORY


In the print edition, drawings were included showing the oar arrangements discussed in the text, depicting ancient ships in cross section. Those were reproduced from Foley and Soedel’s article in *Scientific American*. Images copyright © 1981 by George volume Kelvin. They were used with his permission.

The theory that some of the stones in the Egyptian pyramids were made of poured concrete was published under peer review in M.W. Barsoum, A. Ganguly & G. Hug, “Microstructural Evidence of Reconstituted Limestone Blocks in the Great Pyramids of Egypt,” *Journal of the American Ceramic Society* 89.12 (2006): 3788-96). (So far the only expert rebuttals I’ve seen are straw manning the argument, by testing the wrong stones, and mistakenly assuming the proposal is that *all* of a pyramid’s stones were placed this way, which neither Davidovits nor Barsoum have ever claimed.)
CHAPTER 4

B.C.A.D.C.E.B.C.E.

Was originally published at Richard Carrier Blogs

CHAPTER 5

HEROIC VALUES IN CLASSICAL LITERARY
DEPICTIONS OF THE SOUL: HEROES AND GHOSTS IN VIRGIL, HOMER, AND TSO
CH’IU-MING

Was originally published at RichardCarrier.info in 2013.

Works Cited:

Countless passages were quoted or referenced from the *Aeneid*, *Iliad*, *Odyssey* and *Tso Chuan*, too many to name here, as such a collection of passage numbers would be extremely arduous to navigate through. If you want to identify and read them, the only efficient procedure for the visually impaired is for an assistant reader to consult the print edition and then locate the passages and read them for you from a standard edition, online or in print, of each ancient author. Here I will only list the ancient authors and works cited. If you want to skip this list of passage numbers, click here to go directly to the modern scholarship used in this chapter. Otherwise, the alphabetical list of ancient works cited reads as follows:

Caesar, *De Bello Civili*.
Cicero, *De Inventione*.
Cicero, *De Legibus*.
Cicero, *De Republica*.
Cicero, *Philippics*.
Cicero, *Pro Cnaeo Plancio*. 
Cicero, *Pro Sestio*.

Cleanthes, *Hymn to Zeus*.

Confucius, *Analects*.

Herodotus, *Histories*.

Hesiod, *Shield of Herakles*.

Homer, *The Iliad*.

Homer, *The Odyssey*.

Juvenal, *Satires*.

Ovid, *Fasti*.

Pausanias, *Description of Greece*.

Pindar, *Olympian Odes*.

Plato, *Cratylus*.

Plato, *The Laws*.

Plato, *Phaedo*.

Pliny the Elder, *Natural History*.

Plutarch, *Coriolanus*.

Plutarch, *How the Young Man Should Study Poetry*.

Servius, *Commentary on the Aeneid*.

Tso Ch’iu-ming, *Tso Chuan*.

Valerius Maximus, *Memorable Deeds and Sayings*.

Virgil, *The Aeneid*.

**Bibliography for Heroic Values:**


—— . 1985. *Dead but not Gone: Cultural Implications of Mortuary Practice in Neolithic and Early Bronze Age China, ca. 8000 to 1000 B.C.* Unpublished manuscript.


Liddell, H.G. and R. Scott. 1996. *An Intermediate Greek-English Lexicon*, 9th editor New York: Oxford University Press (reprint from 1940). [In the order that definitions of Greek words are mentioned: pages 115, 116, and 906-907, especially sections I.2 and IV, and pages 903, 226, 227, 140, also 870, section II, and pages 528, 401, 43, also 498, and pages 487, 871, 140, ]


---

**CHAPTER 6**

**HEROD THE PROCURATOR AND CHRISTIAN APOLOGETICS**

Was originally a work of educational humor published at Richard Carrier Blogs on 6 January 2012. Revised. Copyright 2012 and 2014.
CHAPTER 7

HEROD THE PROCURATOR:
WAS HEROD THE GREAT A ROMAN GOVERNOR OF SYRIA?

Was prepared by Richard Carrier as his Master of Philosophy thesis in the year 2000.
Revised and published at RichardCarrier.info in 2012.
Copyright 2000 and 2012.

Passages Cited in Herod the Procurator (in the order cited or employed): (click here to skip to scholarship cited)

BJ [= Jewish War] 1.399-400, AJ [= Jewish Antiquities] 15.354-361; content corroborated by Dio Cassius, RH [= Roman History] 54.7.4, 54.7.6, 54.9.3, and partly by the Augustus, Res Gestae 11, which notes the founding of the Augustalia in honor of Augustus’ return from Syria in 19 B.C.

AJ 15.360 and BJ 1.399.
AJ 15.360 and BJ 1.399.

Appian, Contra Apion 1.50 (with BJ 1.pr.6).
Josephus, AJ 1.7, 1.5, 20.263.

FGrH §90, §236. [FGrH means Fragmente der griechischen Historiker, a collection of the fragments of often otherwise lost authors in ancient Greek]

BJ 2.123-135.

AJ 7.268 and 18.194 and Life of Josephus 126 and BJ 1.487.
AJ 9.247, 11.61, 12.221; and in Josephus, Against Apion 1.98 having the powers of an epitropos is distinguished from “all the other authority of a king.”
AJ 17.69 and BJ 5.592.

AJ 10.278, where the verbal form of epitropos is used to refer to divine providence (via God’s stewardship of the universe).

AJ 15.360, 17.221, 20.107, etc.; and BJ 1.538, 2.16, 2.117, etc.
See *eparchos* in *AJ* 18.33, 19.363; and *hégemôn* in *AJ* 18.55.

*AJ* 18.2 and *BJ* 2.117.

Claudius Ptolemy, *Geography* 5.14, and 15.18

*AJ* 13.355-6, 13.192; 14.79; 16.275; and *BJ* 1.103, 1.155.


Cassius Dio, *Roman History* 57.23.


Pliny, *Panegyricus* 36.

Tacitus, *Annals* 4.15.


Pliny, *Epistles* 10.27

Philo, *in Flaccum* 3-4.

Josephus, *AJ* 18.60, *BJ* 2.175, etc.

*BJ* 7.216.


Cassius Dio, *RH* 54.7-10.

**Additional Bibliography for Herod the Procurator (in the order cited or employed):**

(You will notice many cited and quoted sources are in French or German. In the text itself, except where noted, all translations from that German and French scholarship are my own.)


The most thorough treatment of the issue of Augustan procurators, verifying the conclusions here to be drawn, is Peter Eich, *Zur Metamorphose des politischen Systems in der römischen Kaiserzeit: die Entstehung einer "personalen Bürokratie" im langen dritten Jahrhundert* (2005), especially pages 106-58.


Steve Mason, editor, *Flavius Josephus: Translation and Commentary*, volume 3 (2000) (including remarks by Louis Feldman in the preface). This began with volume 3 (out of sequence) in 2000, containing books 1-to-4 of the *AJ*, with translation and commentary by Louis Feldman; other volumes have followed, unfortunately many still out of sequence, and the relevant books for our purposes have not been completed. In 2008, volume “1b” was published containing only book 2 of the *BJ*; and the *AJ* has been brought up only to book 10 (in volume 5, released in 2005).

Etienne Nodet, *Les antiquités juives* (1992-2010), has not yet reached even book 12 of the *AJ*, and the apparatus is minimal and thus not encouraging.


The *AJ* passage was translated by Ralph Marcus and edited by Allen Wilkgren when Thackeray’s death prevented its completion; here quoted from *Josephus in Ten Volumes*, Volume 8 (1963), page 175; the *BJ* passage was translated by Thackeray, here quoted from *Josephus in Nine Volumes*, Volume 2 (1927), page 189.


Gaalya Cornfeld, *Josephe: The Jewish War, Newly Translated with Extensive Commentary & Archaeological Background Illustrations* (1982), page 76.


See “Verweser” in Jacob Grimm & Wilhelm Grimm, *Deutsches Wörterbuch* (1956), especially section 1 and section 2a-c, for Latin equivalents and history of usage.


André Pelletier, *Josèphe: Guerre des Juifs* (1975), volume 1, page 120.


Théodore Reinach, *OEuvres Complètes de Flavius Josèphe* (1900-1928), volume 3 (1904), page 347, and volume 5 (1912), page 80. The latter was revised by Reinach.


István Hahn, “Herodes als Prokurator,” in Elisabeth Welskopf, editor, *Neue Beiträges zur Geschichte der Alten Welt* (1965), pages 25-43; presented at a conference in 1962. Only the first and last pages (25 and 35) address the appointment in 20 B.C., and only the last does so in any detail.


CHAPTER 8

ON THE DUAL OFFICE OF PROCURATOR AND PREFECT

Originally published at RichardCarrier.info
Copyright 2012.

Passages Cited in Dual Office (in the order cited or employed): (click here to skip to scholarship cited)

Philo, Embassy to Gaius 38.299.

Inscriptiones Latinae Selectae 231.

Corpus Inscriptionum Latinarum III 5776.
Additional Bibliography for Dual Office (in the order cited or employed):


does not clearly mean the governor of Thrace, it could simply mean the procurator assigned to manage funds in Thrace, who might not have been the governor; and even if he was, he is here being referred to in his capacity as the manager of money and lands (for the building of roads), not in his capacity as the Thracian judicial and military authority. Thus we cannot conclude from this inscription that he was not also the prefect of Thrace.


CHAPTER 9

FLASH! FOX NEWS REPORTS THAT ALIENS MAY HAVE BUILT THE PYRAMIDS OF EGYPT!

Was originally published in Skeptical Inquirer 23.5 (September-October 1999), pages 46-50.
Minor revisions copyright 2014 by Richard Carrier.
Original copyright 1999 by The Skeptical Inquirer.
Reproduced with permission.

Bibliography for Flash! (in the order cited or employed):

There was much discussion about this Fox special at the time; you can peruse the ANE Digest archives online around the date of broadcast at http://oi.uchicago.edu/research/library/ane/digest.

CHAPTER 10

PSEUDOHISTORY IN JERRY VARDAMAN’S MAGIC COINS: THE NONSENSE OF MICROGRAPHIC LETTERS

Minor revisions copyright 2014 by Richard Carrier.
Original copyright 2002 by the Skeptical Inquirer.
Reproduced with permission.

CHAPTER 11

MORE ON VARDAMAN’S MICROLETTERS

Minor revisions copyright 2014 by Richard Carrier.
Original copyright 2002 by the Skeptical Inquirer.
Reproduced with permission.
CHAPTER 12

HITLER’S TABLE TALK:
TROUBLING FINDS

This article was first published in
German Studies Review 26.3 (October 2003): pages 561-76.
Minor revisions & new afterword copyright 2014 by Richard Carrier.
Original copyright 2003 by the German Studies Association.
Reprinted with permission from
Johns Hopkins University Press.

Bibliography for Hitler’s Table Talk (in the order cited or employed):


Apart from still more changes of title, publisher, and publication date than are noted here, the following survey exhausts all major variants of Hitler’s Table Talk that I know:


Then in 1953 arrives the English version edited by H.R. Trevor-Roper, Hitler’s Table Talk, 1941-1944 (London: Weidenfeld and Nicholson), also published as Hitler’s Secret Conversations, 1941-1944. Reprinted many times (e.g. 1961, 1988), a new (2nd) edition was issued in 1973, and a 3rd edition in 2000 [and in reaction to this article, a 4th edition was released in 2007 with a new preface by renowned Hitler historian Gerhard Weinberg acknowledging the findings of this article].

In 1980 came the most important edition of all: Werner Jochmann, Monologe im Führerhauptquartier 1941-1944: die Aufzeichnungen Heinrich Heims herausgegeben von Werner Jochmann (Hamburg: A Knaus).

I have also stumbled across I.M. Fradkin’s 1993 translation into Russian of Picker’s version, entitled Zastolnye razgovory Gitlera (Smolensk: Rusich).

Gitta Sereny, in “The Truth Is, I Loved Hitler,” The Observer (28 April 1996) mentions a serialization of the original German of Genoud’s manuscript in an unnamed German magazine sometime in the 70s, which I could not confirm.

There is also Gerhard Weinberg, Guide to Captured German Documents (Maxwell Airforce Base, Alabama: Human Resources Research Institute, 1952). On page 55 it is noted that “Safe 5” contains item 6, a “box of miscellaneous Hitler items” including sub-item 4: “Führerhauptquartier, Jan 18, 1942, abends, 4 pages,” which “concerns German domestic politics;” “Führerhauptquartier, Jan 24, 1942, abends, 2 pages,” whose contents aren’t described; and item 8, “Typed copies of the utterances of Hitler, 1942, under item 6 above” (file no. 52-178). In fact, item 8 contains copies of everything in item 6, plus: Jan 8/9: 9 pages; 16/17: 14 pages; 17/18: 3 pages; 18/19: 2 pages; 19: 3 pages; 20: 2 pages; 22: 2 pages; 24: 2 pages (all from 1942). I did not attempt a systematic collation, but I noticed many handwritten corrections aligning what was typed to what appears in
Those are the editions of the *Table Talk*. Now for the additional scholarship cited in this chapter:


David Lee Preston, “Switzerland is Urged to Open its Files on Nazi who Financed Terrorists,” *Philadelphia Inquirer* (19 March 1997).


**CHAPTER 13**

**IGNATIAN VEXATION**

CHAPTER 14

PAULINE INTERPOLATIONS


The scholarship cited in this chapter is:


The online resources referenced in this chapter can be located at:


http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lOz8CpfR_jw.

http://vridar.wordpress.com/2010/01/05/taking-eddy-boyd-seriously-3

CHAPTER 15

LUKE VS. MATTHEW ON THE YEAR OF CHRIST’S BIRTH

Originally published at ErrancyWiki.com.

Writing funded by ErrancyWiki.

Revised. Copyright 2006 and 2014.

The expanded version of the original research report that this chapter summarizes is available at:


The resources cited specifically in this chapter include:
Passages Cited in Luke vs. Matthew (in the order cited or employed): (click here to skip to scholarship cited)

Strabo, *Geography* 16.1.28.
Velleius, *Compendium of Roman History* 2.117.2.
Tacitus, *Histories* 5.9.2.
Cassius Dio, *Roman History* 54.28.2.

Additional Bibliography for Luke vs. Matthew (in the order cited or employed):

For the coins discussed, see the relevant entries in Burnett’s *Roman Provincial Coinage* (1992), including the supplemental volume with corrections.


Jacques Winandy, “Le recensement dit de Quirinius (LC 2,2): une interpolation?” *Revue Biblique* 104.3 (July 1997): pages 373-77


Edward Dabrowa, *The Governors of Roman Syria from Augustus to Septimius Severus* (1998), where pages 17-35 survey the evidence and names of all the governors of Syria from 25 B.C. to 25 A.D.

The consulship of Quirinius is reported in surviving consular lists etched in stone (see the entry for him in Pauly, Wissowa, and Kroll, *Realencyclopädie der klassischen Altertumswissenschaft*).


F. Kenyon and H. Bell *Greek Papyri in the British Museum* 3 (1907), page 125.


CHAPTER 16

MARK 16:9-20 AS FORGERY OR FABRICATION

Passages Cited for Mark 16:9-20 (in the order cited or employed): (click here to skip to scholarship cited)

Eznik, On God or Sects 112, quoting Jesus (first from Luke 10:19 and then from Mark 16:17-18).
Irenaeus, Against Heresies 2.32.4.
Justin Martyr, Dialogue with Trypho 39.
Tertullian, Against Marcion 5.8.
1 Corinthians 12, Romans 12:4-9, 1 Corinthians 7:8, 1 Corinthians 14, and Hebrews 2:4 and 6:4-6.
Hippolytus, Apostolic Tradition 36.1.
Cyprian, The Opinions of 87 Clerics at the Seventh Council of Carthage Concerning the Baptism of Heretics 37.
Didascalia 23.(6.8), or page 101 of the Connolly translation.
Aphraates, Demonstrations 1.17, 1.8.
Ambrose of Milan, On the Holy Spirit 2.13.(151) and On Repentance 1.8.(35).
Jerome, Against Pelagius 2.15.
Section 5.3.(14) of the Apostolic Constitutions as well as sections 6.3.(15) and 8.1.(1).
Hesychius of Jerusalem, Collection of Difficulties and Solutions in Migne’s Patrologia Graeca 93.1440 (5th century A.D.).
Severus of Antioch, Homily 77.

Additional Bibliography for Mark 16:9-20 (in the order cited or employed):

Bart Ehrman, Jesus, Interrupted (2009).

Bart Ehrman, *The Orthodox Corruption of Scripture* (1993).


Except where otherwise noted I rely on the critical edition of the Greek text provided by Barbara Aland, Bruce Metzger, et al., *The Greek New Testament*, 4th Revised edition (1983). See pages 189-92 for the endings of Mark (and page 191, note 6 for the VLE, on which also see C.R. Gregory, *Das Freer-Logion* [1908]). This includes an apparatus distinguishing which endings appear in which manuscripts (hereafter mss.), although in other cases I have found the apparatus of this edition frequently omits variants that I have personally seen even in the mss. they attest to using, which means such omissions may also exist here (so we should not assume their apparatus is complete). A more (but still not entirely) complete apparatus is available in Reuben Swanson’s *New Testament Greek Manuscripts: Mark* (1995), pages 264-71.

Wikipedia on “Codex Bobiensis.”


The best discussion at Wikipedia is “Mark 16:9-20 in the manuscripts and patristic evidence” in the entry for “Mark 16” ([http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mark_16](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mark_16)).


Both also have project websites devoted to them: see The Sinaiticus Project ([http://www.codexsinaiticus.org](http://www.codexsinaiticus.org)) and The Vaticanus Project ([http://www.csntm.org/Manuscript/View/GA_03](http://www.csntm.org/Manuscript/View/GA_03)).


John Wordsworth, Portions of the Gospels according to St. Mark and St. Matthew (1886), pages xxix-xxx.

Frederick Scrivener, Bezae Codex Cantabrigiensis (1864).


The official website for the Armenian bible: http://www.armenianbible.org.


John Burgon, The Last Twelve Verses of the Gospel according to S. Mark (1871), page 126 (with the scribal notes translated on page 123).


Maurice Robinson is quoted in The Encyclopedia of New Testament Textual Criticism, entry for “Manuscript 304” (available online at http://www.skypoint.com/members/waltzmn/Manuscripts1-500.html#m304).
Bart Ehrman, *Didymus the Blind and the Text of the Gospels* (1986), page 6 (cf. pages 6-7 for discussion and references).


Wikipedia entries on the “Western text-type” (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Western_text-type) and the “Alexandrian text-type” (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alexandrian_text-type).


CHAPTER 17

THE NAZARETH INSCRIPTION

Originally published by The Secular Web in 2000.
Copyright 2000 by Richard Carrier and Internet Infidels. Revisions copyright 2014 by Richard Carrier.

Passages Cited for Nazareth Inscription (in order cited): (click here to skip to scholarship cited)

Many passages derive from the Digest of Justinian which will be cited as Dig. For this I relied on the translation of Alan Watson (published in 1985).

Josephus, Jewish Antiquities 18.29.

Marcion, Institutes 14 = Dig. 48.13.4.2.

Ulpian, Duties of the Proconsul 7 = Dig. 48.13.7.

Ulpian, The Praetor’s Edict 2 = Dig. 47.12.1.

Ulpian, The Praetor’s Edict 25 = Dig. 47.12.3 (parts 2, 3, and 7)

Macer, Public Prosecutions 1 = Dig. 47.12.8.

Paul, Views 5 = Dig. 47.12.11.

Additional Bibliography for Nazareth Inscription (in the order cited or employed):


Josh McDowell, The New Evidence That Demands a Verdict (1999). This is the 2nd edition of the original Evidence That Demands a Verdict published in 1972, revised in 1979. The Nazareth Inscription is mentioned in the 2nd edition, pages 244-245, section 9.6A.2B.1C; and in the 1st edition, page 218, section 10.4A.2B.1C. In both places the material is basically identical, except McDowell adds to his 2nd edition the quote I also reproduce from Geisler and Brooks (page 67, section 3.3A.3B.2C.6D). These claims have since become part of the Wikipedia entry for this inscription (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nazareth_Inscription).

Image, text, and discussion of the inscription can be found at F. Cumont, “Un Recrit Impérial sur la Violation de Sépulture,” Revue Historique [January-April 1930], pages 241-66.


F. Wamser, De iure sepulcrali Romanorum quid tituli doceant (1887), pages 31-35.

On what the facts laid out in this chapter would mean regarding the accounts of Jesus’ burial and allegations of his corpse’s disappearance if Jesus did exist, see my chapters on burial and theft in *The Empty Tomb*, edited by Lowder and Price (with [http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/richard_carrier/nazarethlaw.html#12](http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/richard_carrier/nazarethlaw.html#12) and [http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/richard_carrier/nazarethlaw.html#14](http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/richard_carrier/nazarethlaw.html#14) and [http://www.richardcarrier.info/Carrier--ReplyToDavis.html#corpses](http://www.richardcarrier.info/Carrier--ReplyToDavis.html#corpses)).

**CHAPTER 18**

**THALLUS AND THE DARKNESS AT CHRIST’S DEATH**

This article first appeared in the *Journal of Greco-Roman Christianity and Judaism*, volume 8 (2011-2012): 185-91.  
Copyright 2012 by Sheffield-Phoenix Press.  
Reproduced with permission.

**Passages Cited for Thallus (in the order cited or employed): (click [here](http://www.infidels.org/library/modern/richard_carrier/nazarethlaw.html#12) to skip to scholarship cited)**

Mark 15.33; Matthew 27.45; Luke 23.44-45.

Amos 8.9; cf. Matthew in 27.51-53.

Micah 7.8; Amos 5.20; Joel 2.31-32; Ezekiel 32.7-8; Isaiah 60.1-2.

John Lydus, *De Ostentis* 70a.


Plutarch, *Life of Pelopidas* 31.3.


Dio Cassius, *Roman History* 55.29.3.

Julius Africanus, *Chronicle* 18.2 (as preserved in George Syncellus, *Chronography* 391 and 394).

**Additional Bibliography for Thallus (in the order cited or employed):**

CHAPTER 19

ORIGEN, EUSEBIUS, AND THE ACCIDENTAL INTERPOLATION IN JOSEPHUS, JEWISH ANTIQUITIES 20.200


Passages Cited for Origen (in the order cited or employed): (click here to skip to scholarship cited)


Pliny the Younger, Epistles 10.96–97.

Tacitus, Annals 15.44.


*Clementine Recognitions* 1.66–70.


Origen’s *Commentary on Matthew* (fragment 25), preserved in a twelfth-century catena [Erich Klostermann, *Origenes Werke*, volume 12 (Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs, 1941), page 190, section 457 II]. This remark does not appear in the Latin translation of Origen’s commentary, but this was probably a result of abbreviation; see Klostermann, *Origenes Werke*, volume 11 (Leipzig: J. C. Hinrichs, 1933), page 42. That the Latin often gives an abbreviated version of the original text (and thus such a loss of detail in it is typical), is explained in Hermann J. Vogt, *Origenes. Der Kommentar zum Evangelium nach Matthäus III* (Stuttgart: Hiersemann, 1993), pages 1–8, with the remarks in C. page Bammel’s review of same in *The Journal of Ecclesiastical History* 46 (1995): pages 549–50.

*Clementine Homilies* 18.4.5.


Justin Martyr, *Dialogue with Trypho* 32.1.

Origen, *Against Celsus* pref.2.12.


Origen, *Scholia on Matthew* 17.308.


Origen, *Against Celsus* 4.28.
Additional Bibliography for Origen (in the order cited or employed):


Alice Whealey, Josephus on Jesus: The Testimonium Flavianum Controversy from Late Antiquity to Modern Times (New York: Peter Lang, 2003).


Miroslav Marcovich, Patristic Textual Criticism (Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1994), the entry for “Interpolations” in the index.


Martin West, Textual Criticism and Editorial Technique Applicable to Greek and Latin Texts (Stuttgart: B. G. Teubner, 1973), page 28.


Benedikt Niese, Flavii Iosephi opera (Berlin: Weidmann, 1892), 4:310.

Richard Carrier, Proving History: Bayes's Theorem and the Quest for the Historical Jesus (Amherst, NY: Prometheus, 2012).

CHAPTER 20

THE PROSPECT OF A CHRISTIAN INTERPOLATION IN TACITUS, ANNALS 15.44

Copyright 2014 by Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden.
Reproduced with permission.

Passages Cited in Prospect (in the order cited or employed): (click here to skip to scholarship cited)

Tacitus, Annals 15.44.


Pliny, Letters 10.96.
Evidence cited for Pliny and Tacitus’s relationship can be found in: Pliny the Younger, *Letters* 6.16; 6.20, 7.33; 2.11.2; 6.9; 1.6, 4.15.1, and 7.20.6; 2.1.6; 9.23.2; 4.13; 1.20; 9.14; 9.10; 7.20 and 8.7; 6.16.22; 7.20.2; 7.20.7).


Tacitus, *Agricola* 10.3.

Tacitus, *Annals* 1.69, 13.20, 15.53.

Tacitus, *Histories* 3.29.


Pliny the Elder, *Natural History* 17.1.5.


Suetonius, *Claudius* 25.4.

Dio Cassius, *Roman History* 60.6.6 (translation by Earnest Cary, Loeb Classics edition).

Orosius, *A History against the Pagans* 7.6.15-16.


Tacitus, *Annals* 2.61 and 4.4-5.

Sulpicius Severus, *Chronicle* 2.30.6-7.

Orosius, *History against the Pagans* 7.9.4-6.

Pliny the Younger, *Letters* 10.96-97; cf. 10.34.


Josephus, *Jewish Antiquities* 18.63-64.


Pliny the Elder, *Natural History* 17.1.5.

Acts of Paul 11:3.

Tertullian, *Apology* 16 and 5.3 and 21.25.

Tertullian, *Ad Nationes* 1.11 and 2.12 and 1.7.8.


Eusebius, *History of the Church* 2.25; cf. also 2.22, 3.1, and 4.26


1 Clement 5 and 6 and 1:1.

_Sibyline Oracles_ 5.140-46.

_Ascension of Isaiah_ 3:13-4:22.

Revelation 8 and 7 through 19, especially 17:10-11.

Irenaeus, _Against Heresies_ 5.30.3.

Additional Bibliography for Prospect (in the order cited or employed):


Bibliographic Appendix

GENERAL LIST OF BOOKS AND CHAPTERS BY RICHARD CARRIER
(with common abbreviations)


NIF = Not the Impossible Faith: Why Christianity Didn’t Need a Miracle to Succeed (Raleigh, NC: Lulu.com, 2009).

OHJ = On the Historicity of Jesus: Why We Might Have Reason for Doubt (Sheffield, UK: Sheffield-Phoenix, 2014).

PH = Proving History: Bayes’s Theorem and the Quest for the Historical Jesus (Amherst, NY: Prometheus Books, 2012).

SGG = Sense and Goodness without God: A Defense of Metaphysical Naturalism (Bloomington, IN: AuthorHouse, 2005).


TCDs = Richard Carrier, “Christianity Was Not Responsible for Modern Science,” TCD, 396–419.


TECg = Richard Carrier, “Christianity’s Success Was Not Incredible,” TEC, 53–74, 372–75.


