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An unhealthy occupation? The Impact of the 1940–45 German Occupation on the Health of Channel Islanders

We’re all quite well, but getting thinner,
Not much for tea, still less for dinner.
Though not exactly on our uppers,
We’ve said Adieu to cold ham suppers.
In peace time those who wished to slim,
Tried diet, massage, baths and gym.
We’ll tell the stout of every nation
The secret’s solved by Occupation.

[Anon, Jersey (Lainé, 1945)]

Introduction

The occupation of the British Channel Islands by the German Army is one of the less discussed episodes of the Second World War, not least perhaps because it was something of an embarrassment to the British Government of the time.¹ The British Government was forced to order the demilitarisation of the islands, which lie just off the coast of northern France, when the collapse of the

Maginot line and the retreat of Allied forces to the beaches of Dunkirk meant that occupation became inevitable. Any attempt to defend the islands would have involved huge loss of life, both military and civilian, and at little strategic gain to the Allies. For this reason they were abandoned to their fate – a fate which involved almost five years of increasing deprivation and misery.

Studies of the impact of conditions during the German occupation of other European countries are plentiful, and suggest that conditions were particularly severe in occupied Russia, where sources speculate that the Leningrad siege may have claimed the lives of up to 50% of the city’s population. In the Netherlands, German blockades towards the end of 1944 had an immediate effect on the health of the population, leading to an increase in mortality, a decrease in fertility and a reduction in the birth weight of babies. The occupation of the Channel Islands also involved increasing deprivation, culminating in a siege during the last 10 months of the war when the islands were cut off from mainland France by the Allied advance following the Normandy landings.

As part of a broader programme of research exploring the impact of the occupation on the health of Channel Islanders (the Channel Islands Occupation Birth Cohort Study and related studies; see Ellison et al., 1998 and the Appendix), this paper set out to conduct a systematic review of published sources describing the conditions experienced by Channel Islanders during the 1940–45 occupation and thereby assess the immediate and longer term impact of these conditions on islanders’ health.

Methods

The systematic review applied each of the four key components developed within epidemiology and the applied social sciences for generating explicit secondary syntheses of data from primary sources: a systematic search for relevant published sources with explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria; the critical appraisal of included sources to assess the reliability of the evidence they provide; the extraction of relevant data from each of the included sources; and the collation of extracted data to generate a synthesis of findings. The systematic search for relevant sources
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used a citation network based on references cited in Madeleine Bunting’s recently updated historical account, The Model Occupation (2004), and on references cited in each of these cited sources. Any sources that provided first- or second-hand accounts of conditions and related experiences during the 1940–45 occupation of the Channel Islands were included in the review. The first of these searches generated a total of 12 published histories of the occupation, including several written immediately after the occupation ended and a number of more recent additions. In addition to these formal histories of the occupation, personal memoirs and diaries written by those who experienced the occupation first-hand were obtained from the reference lists of works identified through the citation network and from a systematic search of the comprehensive collection of published and unpublished material on the occupation held by the Guernsey Island Archives Service.  

A total of 11 such memoirs and diaries were obtained, and these also included those contemporary to the occupation and those published more recently. Meanwhile, the search of the Guernsey archives also uncovered wartime recipes and health reports, as well as two official reports compiled by the Guernsey Controlling Committee – the civilian “cabinet” that assumed emergency administrative powers in the run-up to the occupation and thereafter – and four academic papers and theses examining the occupation of the Channel Islands.

A total of 30 sources were therefore consulted for information relating to the occupation of the Channel Islands (a complete list of these can be found in Table 1). During the critical appraisal and extraction of data provided by each of the included sources, details such as the rationing of foodstuffs, the dates on which specific events occurred, and the nature of conditions on the islands were cross-checked and validated between sources prior to synthesis. Critical appraisal confirmed that much of the material contained in these sources was essentially anecdotal and was therefore of limited reliability. For this reason the data extracted from these sources were treated with caution and only included in those aspects of the synthesis that are essentially speculative. Nonetheless, wherever possible the key data presented here were drawn from conditions and events to which more than one source referred, and are therefore most likely to offer valid information on conditions prevailing during the occupation. The following is therefore intended to be a robust account of conditions during the occupation and the reported health consequences of these conditions.
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Background to the occupation

The occupation of the Channel Islands (affecting the populations of the two main islands, Jersey and Guernsey, as well as Alderney, which was almost completely evacuated, and the small population of Sark) began at the end of June 1940. Prior to the occupation, large numbers of islanders were evacuated (including men leaving to enlist in the British armed forces), with 17,000 out of a population of about 41,000 leaving Guernsey and 10,000 of a population of about 50,000 leaving
Jersey. Children in particular were encouraged to evacuate, and most of the schools in Guernsey evacuated en masse although, again, fewer left Jersey. Despite its much reduced population, conditions in Guernsey were rapidly to become more severe than those on Jersey due to a more limited capacity for growing food and a larger influx of German soldiers. In fact, a meeting of doctors in Guernsey concluded that total evacuation would be required in order to avoid starvation of the remaining population, but this advice was not heeded.

Figure 1. Timeline showing major events during the occupation of Guernsey, 1940–45.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First German troops land</td>
<td>June 1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island begins purchasing</td>
<td>August 1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rationing of bread, milk,</td>
<td>March 1941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flour begins (only fruit and</td>
<td>August 1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vegetables remain un-rationed)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last link to France is cut</td>
<td>December 1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and no more supplies arrive</td>
<td>March 1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas supply ceases</td>
<td>May 1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato ration ceases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Islands are</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liberated by Allied forces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Report on Essential Supplies and Services during the Occupation of Guernsey by German Forces and the Work of the Committee, States of Guernsey Committee for the Control of Essential Commodities.

At the beginning of the occupation, conditions were bearable on all of the islands, as large stocks of food and other essentials had been laid in from the beginning of the war. However, from 1941 onwards conditions became increasingly difficult as these stocks ran out and had to be replaced by whatever substitutes could be obtained from France – substitutes which were often of inadequate quantity and
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inferior quality. Leslie Sinel in his 1958 book, Swastika Over Jersey: An Outline of the Occupation and the Liberation of the Island, stated that successive Christmases passed “each more grim than the one before”.\textsuperscript{13} However, there is evidence that the winter of 1941–2 was particularly difficult owing to the failure of the potato crop. Indeed, RCF Maugham (1946: 97) pointed out that “[t]he winter months [of 1941–2] brought with them a long period of domestic misery, owing to hunger and cold. Neither was easy to bear”.

The situation became more severe for islanders in the summer of 1944, when the liberation of Normandy following the D-Day landings cut the islands off from mainland France. From this point onwards the islands were under siege and their meagre stocks of food did not last very long. By the end of that year the islands were on the brink of starvation, and were only reprieved by the arrival of food and other essential supplies from the Red Cross. A letter from an islander in autumn 1944, reproduced by Madeleine Bunting in the 2004 edition of her book, The Model Occupation, expressed the sentiment of the time: “Life is not worth living, we cannot sleep at nights, we are so worried and distressed… we are starving here”.\textsuperscript{14} Even after the arrival of Red Cross supplies the islands continued to be in a desperate situation until the end of the war, since the German army increasingly requisitioned civilian food and materials in order to save themselves from starvation,\textsuperscript{15} on the grounds that the islanders were now being fed by the “protecting power” via the Red Cross.

There is evidence that the occupation did not affect all sections of society equally, despite the fact that food and other essentials were carefully rationed. A report drawn up by the President of the Guernsey Board of Health and cited by Madeleine Bunting (2004: 125) concluded that “the poor and the elderly, particularly in the towns, had suffered the worst”. Times were particularly hard for families where the father had left to enlist in the British armed forces, because the reduced income made it difficult to obtain extra supplies on the black market.\textsuperscript{16} Likewise, women are thought to have suffered particularly badly, because they would give up their rations to feed their husbands and children.\textsuperscript{17}

Charles Cruickshank (1975: 133) argued that “no two islanders were affected in exactly the same way by the occupation” – wealth was definitely important for mitigating the worst of the conditions, as was residence in one of the islands’ rural parishes where more food could be grown and hidden. Farmers, in particular, are likely to have fared better because they were permitted to retain a proportion of their produce, and many secretly retained a great deal more than they were officially

\begin{footnotes}
\item[16] Bunting (2004).
\item[17] Sanders (2005).
\end{footnotes}
allowed. Indeed, some sections of the population may have escaped much of the occupation relatively unscathed. According to Paul Sanders’ (2005) account, the Reverend Mylne in Jersey believed that some 10–15% of the population were essentially unharmed by the occupation either because they “made deals” with the Germans or because they were so affluent that they could secure additional food and other essentials regardless of black market prices. This figure may have been lower in Guernsey because of the harsher conditions there, but even there not everyone will have suffered equally. Nonetheless, it seems likely that most of the population suffered some ill effects from the occupation, and no-one appeared to have been unaffected by the worsening conditions precipitated by the 1944–45 siege.

Rations and other sources of food

At the beginning of the war, food stocks had been increased to full storage capacity, but rationing was quickly introduced in order to make the most of these stocks. At first the rations were adequate, but a new system soon had to be implemented, and in September 1940 the island authorities began to purchase supplies from France. However, the amount obtained was much less than that imported during peacetime. For example, the total quantity of food imported into Guernsey during 1938–39 amounted to 1kg per person per day, while the average for the occupation years (excluding the period of the 1944–45 siege) was only 0.4kg per person per day. As Sir Abraham Lainé mentions in the final report of Guernsey’s Essential Commodities Committee, “The supplies of foodstuffs allocated to the island periodically by the French Authorities did not allow anything like an adequate standard of living to be maintained”. By August 1941, rationing extended to almost all foodstuffs and other essentials, including bread (see Tables 2 and 3). Fruit and vegetables were the only food freely available, but even these were in limited supply because they were only available seasonally and those that were imported often did not survive the journey from France intact. Indeed, even after the introduction of rationing, certain foods became increasingly difficult to obtain, so that by 1942 rations of pork and poultry
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Table 2. Weekly rations of major foodstuffs provided to adults in Guernsey during the occupation – certain categories such as heavy workers or hospital patients sometimes received slightly higher rations of some items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week Beginning</th>
<th>Butter</th>
<th>Potatoes</th>
<th>Sugar</th>
<th>Meat</th>
<th>Milk (separated)</th>
<th>Salt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st July 1940</td>
<td>4oz(^1)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>8oz</td>
<td>(2/-)(^1)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>(2/-)(^1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th Sept 1940</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>6oz</td>
<td>1/-</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31st March 1941</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>6oz</td>
<td>1/-</td>
<td>(3\frac{1}{2}pt)(^1)</td>
<td>2oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29th Sept 1941</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3oz</td>
<td>6oz</td>
<td>(3\frac{1}{2}pt)</td>
<td>3oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23rd March 1942</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>(3\frac{1}{2}pt)</td>
<td>3oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28th Sept 1942</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>5lb(^1)</td>
<td>3oz</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>(3\frac{1}{2}pt)</td>
<td>2oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29th March 1943</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>5lb</td>
<td>3oz</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>(3\frac{1}{2}pt)</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27th March 1943</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>3oz</td>
<td>6oz</td>
<td>(3\frac{1}{2}pt)</td>
<td>1oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27th March 1944</td>
<td>3oz</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>3oz</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>(3\frac{1}{2}pt)</td>
<td>1oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th Sept 1944</td>
<td>4oz</td>
<td>6lb</td>
<td>2oz</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>(5\frac{1}{4}pt)</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26th March 1945</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>(\frac{1}{2}pt)</td>
<td>4oz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Units: oz – ounces (1oz = 28g); lb – pounds (1lb = 454g); pt – pints (1pt = 568ml)

Source: Report on Essential Supplies and Services during the Occupation of Guernsey by German Forces and the Work of the Committee, States of Guernsey Committee for the Control of Essential Commodities.

had disappeared completely and fish was a rare luxury due to restrictions on fishing.\(^24\) Rations were substantially reduced in quantity towards the end of 1941 (see Tables 2 and 3), and from this point onwards only skimmed milk was available in Guernsey, although Jersey had access to full cream milk for the duration of the occupation.\(^25\) The poor potato harvest in 1941 did not help matters\(^26\) and by 1943 the potato ration had been drastically reduced, while the potatoes that were available were of very low quality, half being rotten.\(^27\) The rations were completely inadequate by 1944, so that most meals consisted of vegetable soup and meat was only provided once every two weeks.\(^28\)

Finally, during the 1944–45 siege, the rations available could not provide enough for people to survive. By this point they were a quarter of the level of German rations,\(^29\) and required supplementation from off-ration foodstuffs. Indeed, estimates from the occupation indicate that the rations in Jersey provided just 2100–2500 kcal per day (i.e. 70–83% of an estimated average adult requirement of 3000 kcal per day) up until 1944,\(^30\) and that by the end of 1944 this had fallen to

\(^{25}\) Lewis (1983).
\(^{26}\) Cruickshank (1975).
\(^{27}\) Lainé (1945a).
\(^{28}\) Lainé (1945a).
\(^{29}\) Bunting (2004).
\(^{30}\) Sanders (2005).
Table 3. Weekly bread ration provided in Guernsey during the occupation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week Beginning</th>
<th>Male heavy worker</th>
<th>Other male adult</th>
<th>Female heavy worker</th>
<th>Other female adult</th>
<th>Children over 1½</th>
<th>Children under 1½</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Mar 1941</td>
<td>6lb 2½oz¹</td>
<td>4lb 10oz</td>
<td>5lb 6¼oz</td>
<td>4lb 10oz</td>
<td>3lb 1½oz</td>
<td>3lb 1½oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; July 1941</td>
<td>6lb 2½oz</td>
<td>4lb 10oz</td>
<td>5lb 6¼oz</td>
<td>4lb 10oz</td>
<td>3lb 1½oz</td>
<td>1lb 8oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Sep 1941</td>
<td>6lb 0oz</td>
<td>4lb 8oz</td>
<td>5lb 4oz</td>
<td>4lb 8oz</td>
<td>3lb 0oz</td>
<td>1lb 8oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; May 1943</td>
<td>4lb 12oz</td>
<td>3lb 12oz</td>
<td>4lb 4oz</td>
<td>3lb 12oz</td>
<td>3lb 0oz</td>
<td>1lb 8oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1&lt;sup&gt;st&lt;/sup&gt; Aug 1943</td>
<td>6lb 0oz</td>
<td>4lb 8oz</td>
<td>5lb 4oz</td>
<td>4lb 8oz</td>
<td>3lb 0oz</td>
<td>1lb 8oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Nov 1944</td>
<td>3lb 0oz</td>
<td>3lb 0oz</td>
<td>3lb 0oz</td>
<td>3lb 0oz</td>
<td>3lb 0oz</td>
<td>1lb 8oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Mar 1945</td>
<td>5lb 0oz</td>
<td>5lb 0oz</td>
<td>5lb 0oz</td>
<td>5lb 0oz</td>
<td>4lb 0oz</td>
<td>1lb 0oz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Units: oz – ounces (1oz = 28g); lb – pounds (1lb = 454g)

Source: Report on Essential Supplies and Services during the Occupation of Guernsey by German Forces and the Work of the Committee, States of Guernsey Committee for the Control of Essential Commodities.

2000 kcal per day, or as low as 1700 kcal per day for working class families. ³¹ The Medical Officer in Guernsey believed that caloric intake did not fall below 2300 kcal per day during this period, but when a medical team visited Guernsey after the liberation in 1945, the diet was found to be poorer than that of Jersey. ³² More recent estimates indicate that the daily ration in Guernsey during the winter and spring of 1942 was equivalent to 1198 kcal per day, and in the last 10 months of the occupation had fallen to just 1163 kcal per day. Both of these amounts offer less than half of the estimated average adult requirements, but their impact would have been worst during 1944–45 when off-ration foods were largely unavailable. ³³

It appears clear, then, that the population of the Channel Islands would have needed to rely on sources of off-ration food to supplement their meagre rations. The largest alternative source of off-ration food was the black market, which was in full operation from early in the occupation and in which virtually the entire population of the Channel Islands seems to have participated. ³⁴ An extract from a letter from Guernsey resident Richard Foley to his mother during the occupation (reproduced in the 1995 memories of the Guernsey Women’s Institute) suggested that: “Almost everybody in the island managed somehow or other to get things apart from the basic rations, as on these alone I do not see how any of us could possibly

---

³¹ Cruickshank (1975).
³² Cruickshank (1975).
have survived”. Many people appear to have spent their life savings on the black market, as prices became increasingly prohibitive with increasing scarcity. Although officially forbidden by the Germans, there was also a barter market through the shops and in the press, so that those with a little surplus of basic essentials could exchange this with others.

As a supplement to official rations and food acquired through the black market, islanders also became experts at foraging and creating food from unlikely sources. These methods ranged from eking out foodstuffs by, for example, eating potato peelings and food that had gone bad, to the production of salt, magnesium carbonate and fish oils in a specially constructed plant to help provide some of the nutrients islanders were missing in their diet. People discovered how to make puddings from carrageen moss (a local seaweed) and skimmed milk, while the only sources of fat and sugar were the small quantities of buttermilk which were provided from time to time. Less palatable additions to the diet provided by foraging included maggots and husks. Worse, by 1943 most bread was a mixture of wheat, oats, peas and mashed potato, as well as sweepings from the floors of bakeries, none of which is likely to have aided the digestion of islanders.

Finally, it is clear that some islanders were reduced to stealing from one another, although this is thought to have been rare. Cases of theft, while common, were thought to be mostly committed by the foreign forced labourers brought to the islands by the Germans, many of whom were already on the brink of starvation when they arrived. Additionally, German troops routinely used their position of power to “requisition” islanders’ food, even when this was against the law. However, members of Guernsey’s police force were also tried for the theft of food from civilian stores during the occupation. In their defence they stated that their rations and pay were inadequate, PC Kingston Bell being quoted as saying, “It became obvious that the rich could live and the poor could starve”. It is therefore hardly surprising that some islanders were reduced to breaking the law.
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Conditions during the siege

The final ten months of the war were undoubtedly the worst period of the occupation for the Channel Islands. Following the D-Day landings in June 1944 conditions on the islands began to deteriorate until, by August 1944, no supplies could get to the islands from mainland France. At this point there was nothing left to buy in the shops, and civilian rations were reduced to bare subsistence levels, with off-ration foodstuffs being unavailable even on the black market. Evidence obtained by the British government in the autumn of 1944 suggested that the standard of living among the civilian population of the Channel Islands was very poor, and that “the people, especially the less well-off, were near breaking point”.

Living conditions continued to deteriorate as the weather grew colder during the winter of 1944–45 – so much so that, in her Jersey occupation diary, Nan Le Ruez (1994: 249) stated that there was “misery” in the town, and that people were particularly suffering from lack of fuel, so that even had they obtained more food, they could not have cooked it. During this winter, according to Alan and Mary Wood (1955: 196), “life became completely primitive”, with people going to bed after lunch because there was nothing more to eat and they needed to save energy. Likewise, Beryl Ozanne (1994: 118), a nurse in Guernsey throughout the war, felt that by this stage of the occupation, the rations “hardly kept body and soul together”. Indeed, in his history of the occupation, Charles Cruickshank (1975: 141) argued that “By and large the health pluses and minuses balanced out during the greater part of the occupation, but in the two or three months [of 1944] before the Red Cross supplies began to arrive there was a real threat of general starvation”.

The situation was alleviated somewhat by the arrival of the Red Cross ship Vega at the end of December 1944, by which point many Channel Islanders were indeed facing starvation. This is evident from an article published in the Jersey Evening Post at the time which made it clear that “only those who have knowledge of how many of the poorer inhabitants have lived during the past months can imagine what this will mean”. Certainly, it seems likely that the arrival of the Vega saved many lives and many believed that the arrival of the Red Cross parcels saved the
population as a whole from starvation.\(^{53}\) Indeed, when the extra supplies arrived, there were tales of people making themselves ill because they were no longer used to adequate quantities of food.\(^{54}\) Elsewhere, there was such demand for the extra food that people were often robbed for their parcels, and at least one couple was murdered by German soldiers for this food.\(^{55}\)

Nonetheless, even with the arrival of emergency food supplies, the situation in the Channel Islands remained critical until the end of the war. For example, the bread ration ran out in February 1945, so that islanders had to cope for several weeks without one of their staple foods.\(^{56}\) By this point there were also problems with the potato and milk rations, so that islanders often had virtually nothing to live on.\(^{57}\) Communal cooking had been instituted in Guernsey in December 1944, followed by the opening of soup kitchens, but these had to be stopped in March 1945 because there were not enough rations to keep them going.\(^{58}\) These conditions were not to improve until hostilities ceased in May 1945, when a sudden influx of supplies brought conditions very quickly back to almost normal levels.

### Fuel and medical supplies

While the food situation was undoubtedly very serious, particularly during the 1944–45 siege, additional problems were caused by a lack of other essential supplies, such as fuel for heating and cooking, and medical supplies. Fuel was rationed from quite early on in the occupation, so that in Jersey there was often no electricity even during the first winter.\(^{59}\) Heating of rooms by coal and gas was forbidden from spring 1941 onwards, as was the use of electricity for anything other than lighting. By that summer it was also forbidden to heat water using gas.\(^{60}\) There was a shortage of candles, and the felling of trees was prohibited, so that even a log fire was hard to come by. In A Century of Jersey Memories, Sonia Hillsdon (1999: 12) recorded that “Food was short during the Occupation but, for the poor housewife, the means to cook it was almost as difficult to cope with.”
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By the end of the occupation people were dismantling empty houses and burning the timber for fuel, and were even using sawdust stoves for cooking. In Jersey the gas supply was completely cut off in the summer of 1944, while electricity was only on for 2 to 3 hours per day, and even this was eventually cut off completely. The situation was similar in Guernsey, where gas supplies ceased in November 1944 and electricity supplies in December of 1944. By this point communal kitchens were the only source of hot food and many public buildings such as schools had to close because there was no way of heating them. Paul Sanders, in his history of the occupation, argued that the lack of cooking and heating facilities was almost as serious as the lack of food in terms of the health and morale of the population.

Medical supplies and facilities were also a serious problem. Many doctors had evacuated from the islands before the occupation began, and the shortage of fuel meant that ambulances often could not run. Eventually a horse-drawn ambulance was the only method of getting emergency cases to hospital. Meanwhile, the German army took over many of the islands’ medical buildings, leaving less than adequate facilities for the civilian population. Medical care at these facilities tended to be extremely basic, often with no electricity and no sterile dressings or gloves. Hospital staff often had problems completing their shifts due to exhaustion, which is not surprising given that at one stage the daily ration for nurses in Guernsey only comprised a cup of acorn coffee and some turnip stew. According to the first-hand account of one Guernsey nurse, some hospital staff were also weakened by dysentery and found it more and more difficult to perform their duties. Likewise, their patients were also undernourished while in hospital, and this tended to prolong their recovery, placing further pressure on the meagre resources available.

There was also a shortage of drugs and other medical supplies from quite early in the occupation, despite periodic deliveries from the Red Cross between 1941 and 1944 – deliveries which included insulin, vitamin C and serum for diphtheria and
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morphine, as well as dressings and scalpels. Before the siege, some medicines could be imported from France, although these tended to be of poor quality and sometimes did not work. The islands also produced their own improvised tonics and remedies to replace those no longer available, and these helped to eke out supplies until 1944. However, towards the end of 1944 there were few supplies left, and only the most essential operations could be performed, in particular due to a shortage of catgut for stitching. The absence of drugs became very serious, especially for diabetics when there was no more insulin, and many of these patients died. It was no longer possible to vaccinate people against many diseases, and this may have contributed to an increase in several common diseases during the occupation. Indeed, in addition to the problems caused by the reduced medical supplies, there was also an increased demand for medical care, not least because a number of illnesses increased due to the poor diet so that the islanders may have suffered more than would have been the case due to a lack of food alone.

Hygiene

Poor hygiene was an additional problem faced by Channel Islanders during the occupation, and one that could have become much more serious had the war not ended when it did. By September 1943 there was no mains water supply between 7pm and 7am, and by the end of the occupation these hours had been further curtailed. This was exacerbated by low rainfall during the occupation years – a serious problem for islands dependent on rainfall for their water supply. In March 1945 all houses were limited to one tap, all flush toilets were disconnected, and water was only available from the remaining tap for just three hours per day. This is likely to have led to severe problems with disease had the islands not been liberated three months later.

As well as a lack of water for washing, there was also a lack of soap, which further increased the danger of disease and infection. At the beginning of the occupation people were instructed to wash their hands only once a day to conserve soap, but
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even this precaution did not prevent soap supplies running out. Indeed, the English soap previously used by islanders ran out by June 1941 apart from a small reserve set aside for use in maternity cases. Thereafter, the soap obtained from France tended to be of very poor quality. By October 1944, adults had not received any soap for three months, and the islands only received a proper supply again with the arrival of the Vega in December 1944.

The lack of proper hygiene precipitated by inadequate supplies of water and soap resulted in an increased prevalence of skin infections and ulcers, and when the toothpaste ran out there was also an increase in tooth and gum problems. Lice infestations became common because even when there was water it was rarely possible to heat it. Added to the islanders’ nutritionally compromised immune systems, poor hygiene became a potentially serious problem towards the end of the occupation as people were not able to fight off infections as effectively as they would under normal circumstances.

Health and disease

Despite the reports summarised above, in the main, the general health impact of the occupation was perhaps not as bad as it might have been. Indeed, in some respects health actually improved, such as the weight loss that benefited the obese, especially in terms of cardiac health. In some cases this might have also helped some previously overweight women to conceive, while even those women who appeared to have become underweight as a result of the occupation still seemed to give birth to healthy sized babies. One Jersey doctor stated that, particularly in the early days of rationing, his more overweight patients benefitted from their change of diet. Elsewhere, the health of the population of Guernsey was thought to be “exceptionally good” during the first winter of the occupation. Some aspects of
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the occupation diet that may have been advantageous in this regard were the reduced sugar and fat content, and the increased consumption of wholemeal flour.97

However, the weight loss experienced by most Channel Islanders during the occupation was not beneficial to all sectors of the population, such as the elderly and infirm and those who were required to do physical work. For example, the outdoor telephone staff in Guernsey each lost an average of 22lbs (10kg) in weight between 1940 and 1943, and the associated loss of energy began to prevent them from doing their jobs properly even before the onset of the siege in 1944.98 Even the Jersey doctor cited earlier, who was wealthy and had many contacts from whom to obtain off-ration food, saw his body weight fall from 13 stone (83kg) to under 9 stone (57kg) by the end of the war.99 Indeed, he stated that many of his patients experienced similar losses, including his mother-in-law, who weighed less than 6 stone (38kg) by the end of the occupation. Some elderly people seem to have ended the war at an alarmingly low weight, as evidenced by an inquest into the death of a 70-year-old Jersey woman where “malnutrition” was cited as a secondary cause, and whose weight was reported to be only 3 stone (19kg).100

Some of the islanders in prominent positions also appeared to have suffered disproportionately, as a result of the fact that it would not have been proper for them to have been seen to be indulging in the black market. As a consequence, the Dame of Sark lost 4 stone (25kg) in weight during the occupation, and towards the end of the siege weighed only 7 stone (44kg).101 The Bailiffs of Jersey and Guernsey were in a similar predicament, with Alexander Coutanche, Bailiff of Jersey, losing over 2 stone (13kg), and Victor Carey, Bailiff of Guernsey, losing 5 stone (32kg) during the occupation.102 Likewise, the Rector of St Helier, who took a principled stand against the black market, lost half his body weight as a result.103

The most extreme example of weight loss cited by any source was the case of a Guernsey man whose weight dropped from 18 stone (115kg) to 8 stone (51kg) by the end of the war.104 Not surprisingly, Adèle Lainé described in her Occupation Diary how, by the end of the siege, people in Guernsey were often unrecognisable because they had lost so much weight.105 Likewise, Leslie Sinel recorded in his diary
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that by June 1942 some islanders had “lost weight to an astounding degree”. 106 In his history of the occupation of Jersey, RCF Maugham mentioned that in 1943 the general public began to look emaciated, and that by the end of the war, “[t]he people grew thin, their features pinched by privation and want… [n]ormal strength and vitality could just not be maintained”. 107

Although this weight loss must have been alarming, it seems to have been malnutrition that affected the islands most as opposed to outright starvation 108 since the principal problem lay with the composition of the diet rather than a paucity of calories, at least until the onset of the siege. 109 When the islands were starving, towards the end of the siege, this took the form of slow starvation rather than acute deprivation. This may have made the crucial difference between suffering and death for many, 110 since the Channel Islanders were able to become gradually accustomed to fewer and fewer calories rather than being suddenly deprived. However, this is not to say that the effects of this ‘creeping malnutrition’ were not serious, as malnutrition was recorded as a cause of death on a number of death certificates at the time. 111 Malnutrition also caused loss of memory, concentration and stamina, and many people collapsed in the street as they were going about their daily tasks. There were cases of famine oedema of the legs, again particularly among the elderly, 112 while recovery rates from a number of other conditions were notably slow.

Increases in several other diseases and illnesses were also reported during the occupation, including tuberculosis, 113 scarlet fever, 114 dysentery, 115 tetanus, 116 typhoid, 117 hepatitis, 118 jaundice, 119 chicken pox 120 and whooping cough 121 , as well as conditions such as diarrhoea 122 and intestinal worms. 123 Many people had sore gums and loose teeth, and there was speculation that this might be due to scurvy. 124 There
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was also an epidemic of colic, perhaps as a result of people being encouraged to eat nettles, sorrel and bracken to supplement their diet.\textsuperscript{125} The cold did not help matters, and chilblains were prevalent and painful.\textsuperscript{126}

Matters were said to have been particularly bad during the winters, and the islands’ authorities tried to build up people’s health during the summer months in order to maximise their chances of surviving until the following spring.\textsuperscript{127} When there was an outbreak of diphtheria in Jersey in 1944 this affected more adults than usual,\textsuperscript{128} and also affected many children because the immunisation programme had had to be stopped.\textsuperscript{129} Meanwhile, the German troops brought a range of diseases with them from the front, including trench mouth (a severe gum infection), mite infestations, syphilis and gonorrhoea.\textsuperscript{130} Colds and sore throats, however, were less common, perhaps because of reduced population movement both into and within the islands.\textsuperscript{131}

There is some speculation as to whether the death rate increased during the years of the occupation. There is certainly some evidence that people died from malnutrition, including one death of an adult male on Jersey in September 1941 where the cause of death was listed as heart failure due to malnutrition.\textsuperscript{132} Many more such cases had been reported by 1945.\textsuperscript{133} The lack of proper medical supplies is also likely to have contributed to mortality rates,\textsuperscript{134} such as the lack of insulin which led to the deaths of most of the diabetics on the islands.\textsuperscript{135} From 1942 onwards there were reports of increasing numbers of deaths attributed to living conditions on the islands, although generally the precise cause of these was not specified.\textsuperscript{136} For example, Paul Sanders mentions an increase in the death rate in his history of the occupation, but states that this was not due to general starvation, but involved certain groups of the population such as the elderly, the young, members of large families and those with low incomes.\textsuperscript{137} Indeed, in Jersey the pre-war death rate was 12–13 per 1000, while by January 1945 this had risen to 35.6 per 1000.\textsuperscript{138} The death rate in Guernsey also rose at certain points during the occupation (see Figure 2), but these
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increases were only found to be significant among the over-65 age group.\textsuperscript{139} Nonetheless, there were many deaths recorded as being due to “syncope”, or sudden collapse from hunger.\textsuperscript{140}

**Figure 2.** Mortality rates (per thousand population) in Guernsey during the occupation.

Source: Report on Essential Supplies and Services during the Occupation of Guernsey by German Forces and the Work of the Committee, States of Guernsey Committee for the Control of Essential Commodities.
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Children and young people

Children were allocated additional rations as they were perceived to be a particularly at-risk group. These included milk provided at school\textsuperscript{141} and sometimes soup,\textsuperscript{142} as well as the occasional orange\textsuperscript{143} and supplements of cod liver oil.\textsuperscript{144} The youngest children were also first in line to receive a ration of sugar whenever this became available.\textsuperscript{145} In fact, children under the age of 4 received twice as much sugar as adults, while children at school from time to time received biscuits from the ‘Secours National’ – a political and religious organisation which helped victims of the war.\textsuperscript{146} It may have been just as well that these extra rations were provided, since by the end of the occupation there was evidence that some children were eating very little apart from what they were given at school.\textsuperscript{147}

Indeed, despite these additional rations there were numerous accounts of children, and teenagers in particular, faring particularly badly during the occupation.\textsuperscript{148} Teenagers did not receive the extra milk ration once they had left school, even though they needed plenty of extra energy to grow, not least if they were also engaged in manual labour, as many of them were.\textsuperscript{149} The British Nutrition Foundation (BNF) states that teenagers aged 15–18 actually require more calories per day than adults (see Table 4), and as such this group would have received proportionately fewer of the calories they required during the 1940–45 occupation.\textsuperscript{150} Moreover, when German medical advisors examined the islanders they concluded that the rations available were adequate for all except, perhaps, children aged 6 to 14, whose needs were felt to be greater than the rations provided.\textsuperscript{151} This concurred with the views of a local doctor, who felt that children under 6 were adequately nourished but that older children suffered from inadequate food.\textsuperscript{152} It is therefore possible that these children actually suffered more than adults during the occupation. They were certainly hungry, as this letter to Father Christmas from a Guernsey child in 1940 testifies: “Could you bring me some chocolate? I have not
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Table 4. Estimated average daily requirements (EAR) of energy in kilocalories for people of different ages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–3 Months</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–6 Months</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–9 Months</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–12 Month</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3 Years</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>1165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–6 Years</td>
<td>1715</td>
<td>1545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–10 Years</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–14 Years</td>
<td>2220</td>
<td>1845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–18 Years</td>
<td>2755</td>
<td>2110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–50 Years</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>1940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51–59 Years</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–64 Years</td>
<td>2380</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74 Years</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>1900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74+ Years</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>1810</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: www.nutrition.org.uk

had any for three months. I would adore a good hunk of Dutch cheese also, and about two dozen eggs would be lovely if you could get them across without breaking them. Even one egg would be very acceptable”.

There was also evidence that the growth of children and adolescents was impeded during the occupation. One Jersey doctor who investigated the growth of school-aged children during the occupation found that all age groups had below average growth rates. The Education Council agreed, finding in its annual report of 1944 that between December 1943 and January 1944 25% of children had lost weight, and that between January and February 1944 33% had lost weight. Likewise, the Medical Officer for Health in Jersey found that children aged 6 to 14 were several pounds lighter, on average, in 1943. There was also evidence of reduced growth in height, since the average height of 14 year olds in 1940 was 5ft 1in (1.55m), while in 1943 this was only 4ft 10½in (1.49m). A subsequent analysis of the Jersey children’s growth data, which compared their growth in height and weight during the occupation years with that of children on the mainland UK,
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found that the Jersey children had significantly lower growth rates compared to children in the UK. Shortly after the end of the occupation, the Jersey children’s growth rate had caught up in terms of weight, but their rate of growth in height remained below that of children in the UK. This is likely to indicate that either the Jersey children would have remained shorter as adults, or their period of growth would have been extended, thus delaying their development. Finally, there is also evidence that age at menarche was delayed among women resident in Guernsey who reached puberty during the occupation, and this may be further evidence of developmental delay affecting young people exposed to the occupation.\footnote{160 Fentiman I. S., Allen D. S., Ellison G. T. H. (2007). “The impact of the occupation of Guernsey 1940–1945 on breast cancer risk factors and incidence”, International Journal of Clinical Practice, 61: 937–943.}

Food deprivation not only manifested itself in poor growth, but also created a number of other health problems. Many children were admitted to hospital in Guernsey suffering from malnutrition, as well as bronchitis and pneumonia brought on by their reduced resistance to disease.\footnote{161 Ozanne (1994).} They were also lacking in vitality, so that school gymnastics and sporting events had to be cancelled in order to conserve energy.\footnote{162 Lewis (1983).} Likewise, educational standards were thought to have dropped because there was too little fuel to heat schools, while the children suffered from poor concentration as a result of hunger.\footnote{163 Jeffs (1999).} In the final winter of the occupation, all of the islands’ state schools were closed from before Christmas until February because of a lack of heating, and when they did reopen it was for only 2½ hours per day.\footnote{164 Sinel (1984).} Not surprisingly, by the end of the war the children who had remained on the islands were found to have fallen well behind their evacuated counterparts in terms of their education, and this may well have affected these children well into their later lives.\footnote{165 Bunting (2004).}

**Summary and Conclusion**

This review found a considerable body of published reports and first-hand accounts which suggests that conditions during the 1940–45 German occupation of the Channel Islands had a number of immediate effects on the health of the population at the time, with an increase in a range of ailments, a decline in growth amongst children and, in certain sections of the population, higher rates of mortality. The sources included in this review also described a serious lack of medical supplies and
problems with hygiene, fuel and water, all of which are likely to have exacerbated the decline in health. The deterioration in conditions intensified during the 10 month siege at the end of the war, and mass starvation amongst the civilian population was felt to have been prevented only by the arrival of supplies from the Red Cross. Given the findings of extensive studies examining the longer-term effects of pre- and post-natal exposure to the ‘Dutch famine’ (also known as the ‘Dutch hunger winter’) of 1944–1945, during which comparable levels of deprivation occurred, it is likely that similar long-term health effects may have occurred amongst Channel Islanders – particularly amongst children and young people resident on the Islands throughout the occupation, whose rations appear to have been substantially lower than that currently recommended. This is an issue which the Channel Islands Occupation Birth Cohort Study, and related studies, have set out to address. The first tranche of analyses from these studies (listed in the Appendix) confirm that individuals exposed to the Channel Islands occupation during childhood and early adulthood experienced delayed growth and reproductive development, and have subsequently experienced an increased risk of obesity, hyperglycaemia, as well as elevated mortality and higher rates of


hospital admission for cardiovascular disease in later life. However, there was no evidence that exposure to the occupation was associated with hypercholesterolaemia in later life and the increased risk of hypertension and hyperglycaemia reported previously has not been replicated in all the cohorts studied to-date. Nonetheless, these findings do suggest that undernutrition and related deprivation during the 1940–45 Channel Islands occupation had a substantive impact on health in later life. Further research will draw on additional sources detailing conditions during the occupation (including unpublished material held by the Wellcome Library and the Imperial War Museum, and qualitative interviews with surviving islanders), and will extend the range of cohorts examined to include analyses of potential intergenerational effects on reproductive outcomes based on data from vital registration records and a recently completed questionnaire survey.
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Appendix. Publications emanating from the Channel Islands Occupation Birth Cohort Study and related studies


exposed to food deprivation as children, adolescents or young adults (i.e. postnatal undernutrition) during the 1940–45 German occupation of the Channel Islands, and 648 of whom had left or been evacuated from the islands before the occupation began.

METHODS. These models also tested for any interactions between birth weight and sex, and postnatal exposure to the occupation and parish of residence at birth (as a marker of parish residence during the occupation and related variation in the severity of food deprivation). RESULTS. Occupation. The German forces quickly consolidated their positions. They brought in infantry, established communications and anti-aircraft defenses, established an air service with mainland France and rounded up British servicemen on leave. Government. Plaque: During the period of the German occupation of Jersey, from July 1, 1940 to May 9, 1945, many inhabitants were imprisoned for acts of protest and defiance against the Occupation Forces in H.M. Prison, Gloucester Street which stood on this site. Others were deported and held in camps in Germany and elsewhere from which some did not return. During the occupation he represented the interests of Islanders and pressed the British government to relieve their plight, especially after the Islands were cut off after D-Day. Reviewed by Ryan Holte Published on H-War (December, 2008) Commissioned by Janet G. Valentine. The Peculiarities of Being under Both the Crown and the Swastika. While mainland Britain managed to stave off a German invasion in 1940, the Crown Protectorate Channel Islands (Guernsey, Jersey, Alderney, and Sark) were not so fortunate. Of all the lands conquered by Nazi Germany, the Channel Islands were unique in a number of regards, not the least of which was that their titular government remained at war with Germany. Review of Sanders, Paul, The British Channel Islands under German Occupation, 1940-45. H-War, H-Net Reviews. December, 2008.