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Abstract

In two papers [1,2] we have analyzed the geographical data referring to the Garden of Eden, the place where according to Genesis the "first" human couple of Adam and Eve was "created". We concluded that the biblical data were satisfied by identifying the Garden of Eden with the Hunza valley in northern Pakistan. In this paper we consider the geographical information in Genesis concerning the place of "creation" in Sumerian sources and in the books of Enoch. We conclude that such data are consistent with our previous identification, extending moreover the information pertaining to the region around the Hunza valley and providing a new interpretation of what the mythical underworld might have been. We end with a review of possible meanings of the “gods” and "creation" stories, within the catastrophic quantavolutionary view of the evolution of the solar system and of mankind in the period circa 12,000 BC to circa 700 BC, given by Velikovsky [3], De Grazia [4], De Grazia and Milton [5] and Ackerman [7,8].

1. Introduction

In two previous papers [1,2] we have analyzed the geographical data in Genesis about the Garden of Eden (GAN in Hebrew, paradeisos in the Septuaginta Greek version of the 3rd century BC, a word of Persian origin meaning "walled garden"). Such data are the following:

- the information that four rivers originate from the same geographical location; here we argued that the usual translation a river dividing into four rivers is wrong, in addition of being geographically virtually impossible, nahar having not only the meaning "river" but also that of "snow field"
• the names of the four rivers: Hindekel, Gihon, Pishon, PRT (PRT is usually translated as Euphrates, but we related PRT to perath=fertility, pirat=fruit, parot=cows, hence PRT would be the river of food bearing country)

• the information that Hindekel flew eastwards of "Ashur"

• the information that Gihon bordered the land of Kush

• the information that Pishon bordered the land of Havilah, rich in gold, onyx (?) and bdellium (usually assumed to be an aromatic substance; but in [2] we suggest the meaning "asbestos")

• the information that PRT watered the Garden of Eden, located in the eastern part of Eden

• the information that the Garden of Eden had an eastern access (a "gate"), wherefrom Adam and Eve were expelled; the gate was defended by a Cherubim (KRB) brandishing a fiery sword.

Our thesis in [1,2] was that a location does indeed exist on earth where all the above geographical details are satisfied. Such a location seems to have escaped attention of all previous people involved with the problem of the geography of Eden (albeit we suspect that our proposed location may have been known to the Ismaelites or to the Druses and be part of their still amply secret doctrine). In [2] we have therefore criticized some serious attempts, e.g. by Rohl [9] and by Salibi [10], to locate the Garden of Eden elsewhere (respectively in eastern Anatolia or in south-western Arabia).

Our interpretation of the Genesis geographical data is as follows:

• Eden consists of the very special mountaineous region of central Asia where four great rivers spring out of the huge massif that separates the Hunza valley in north Pakistan from the Wakhan valley of the eastern Afghanistan province of Badakshan. This is also the region where four mighty mountain ranges join, namely the Karakorum from SE, the Hindukush from SW, the Pamir-Tienshan from NW, the Kunlun from NE. The precise borders of Eden are undefinable, but the fact that the Garden is said to lie in the eastern part suggests that several regions, consisting of fluvial valleys, made up Eden. This consideration will be reinforced by the analysis of the Sumerian texts presented in this paper. We think that Eden comprised at least the Hunza Valley (the Garden, GAN), the upper Gihon valley, i.e. the Badakshan (location of the only known mine of lapis lazuli in ancient times, the Blue Mountain; and probably of the antediluvian city of Bad Tibira), and the upper valley of the Mintaka-Tashkurgan river, the present Karakol, down at least to the city of
Tashkurgan (the city of "Ashur" as we argued)

- the four rivers are all mighty rivers (lengths in the range 500 to 2500 km), with their sources a few km or at most a few dozen km one from the other. They are born in the massif that separates the Hunza valley from the Badakshan, with peaks cuminating in the Hunza Kunji, at 7785 (we will give our interpretation of the meaning of this name and its special identification in the Enochian geography of "paradise"). Such four rivers are identified by us as the following rivers in their modern names:

  - the Hindekel with the river born as Mintaka and ending as Tarim in the sands below sea level of the Lop Nor desert; about 2500 km length

  - the Gihon with the river presently named Pandji for the part of its course amid mountains, as Amu Darya for the part in the Turanian plane, ending up in the Aral Sea, some 2500 km long; we noted that the biblical name Gihon was used instead of Pandji until the 19th century

  - the Pishon with the river now variously named as Mastuj, Yarkhand, Konar, Kabul, joining the Indus after Peshawar, some 1000 km long. We suspect that this river in the past did not join the Indus, changing mid course a SW direction brusquely into an eastern direction, but it continued in the SW direction where we now find the Helmand river, ending up in the Hamun lake. The "creation" event took place before the Flood and the later catastrophic event referred to in the Bible as the "breaking of the earth" at Peleg's time. Both events may have resulted in quite a substantial rearrangement of the orography and of the course of rivers. Substantial modifications of a river course after relatively minor natural events or possibly moderate action by man are known to have occurred in recent times. One example is the change of the mouth of the Yellow River, which in the last millennium shifted north to south and then back to north of the Shantung peninsula, as a result of heavy floods. Another is the rearrangement of the course of the San Francisco in Brasil, see de Mahieu [22], that used to flow in a straight northern direction, where we now find the Piaui river, the eastern turn in Remano being possibly due to artificial work to drain via some rapids some large marshes in precolombian times (de Mahieu thesis is that the Templars used that waterway to import silver from the Tiahuanaco region).

  - the PRT (Perath, Parot, Parot...) or "river of food/fertility", which flows through the Garden of Eden in the eastern part of Eden, is identified with the Hunza river. This river has a source just a few km from sources of the Mintaka and the Pandji (which one is the source of a river is an ill defined problem: the criterion of the maximum distance to the sea was almost impossible to apply in ancient times when accurate mapping was not available, and moreover is subject to changes due to landfalls and other geological effects; "religious" criteria, like the one defining the sources of the
The Ganges, are usually more significant from the point of view of the meaning of the river to man, but are generally inconsistent with the maximum length criterion. The Hunza river flows through the Hunza valley, a very special region for agricultural and anthropological considerations, for some 200 km, then continues through a deep and narrow valley for again some 100 km to Gilgit. Transit from Gilgit to Hunza valley used to require, even in the 20th century, after the road improvements made by the British but before the construction of the modern Karakorum highway, about two weeks, using mules or horses. After Gilgit the rivers continues through the Kashmir till it joins the Indus, after a course of some 500 km.

The other geographical details are identified as follows:

- the city of Ashur is the "city of Asia", probably a city in the Karakol ("the black lake"), possibly the strategically located city now called Tashkurgan, meaning rather clearly the gate (tash) to the mountains (kur) of the Garden of Eden (gan). The "Asia" may be related to both the transoxiana land of the "Asioi" referred to by classical geographers, as Pomponius Mela, or to the kingdom of the Azha, very important in the ancient history of Tibet, see Hummel [11].

- the land of Kush corresponds to present Hindukush, the word kush to be related either to the Sanskrit ku =peak (which is the standard academic interpretation) or to the Persian kushtian = to kill, which we prefer since it nicely relates to the story of Cain and Abel

- the land of Havilah is the present region of Kabul (called once Kabulistan, see De Claustre [12]), Havilah meaning possibly land of Abel; notice also that Kabul, believed by its inhabitants to be the oldest city in the world, possibly means soul of Abel (in [21] we gave arguments that ka is a word with worldwide meaning as soul, person, people we can add that it means precisely soul in nahuatl, see de Mahieu [22])

- the eastern gate is identified with the north-east access to the Hunza valley, namely the Khunjerab pass, a name actually providing in the consonantic structure the Genesis information (Cherubim = KRB = JRB, Khun may be related with the ancient Turkish-Uighur word khun, meaning shining divine Sun).

In the following sections we will look to the geographical information on the place of "creation" that is available in several Sumerian texts, and, with reference to the "paradise", in the books of Enoch. The Sumerian texts either have come to us directly as texts predating Moses, the traditional author of Genesis, active, according to Velikovsky [13], in the 15th century BC, or in later texts, e.g. from the library of Assurbanipal, 7th century BC, that are more or less accurate Akkadian transcriptions of older Sumerian documents. The books of Enoch, expunged from the set of canonical sacred books by the Christian Church in the 4th century AD, were previously accepted and generally greatly estimated by the Church fathers (they are even now part of the Canon of the Ethiopian
church). These books in their present version are dated usually at the second century BC. It is likely that such a date just refers to the surviving versions, where an ancient obsolete language was modernized. They were indeed considered, e.g. by St Augustin, as works of "hoary antiquity" and they do in fact deal with prediluvian events, about which only intriguing hints may be found in Genesis. That several texts dealing with very ancient events were once in circulation to be later completely lost, also follows from references in the Pentateuch to vanished books, e.g. *The wars of Jahweh*.

We will consider in the next section the geographical data in the Sumerian creation texts, claiming that they are compatible with the geographic setting of Eden obtained from the Genesis data. The new sources provide elements that enrich our proposed scenario. Among the results that we obtain:

- the possible meaning of the word *Hunza*, till now unexplained by linguists or anthropologists (the Hunza or Hunzakut call themselves Bororo)

- the possible meaning of the name *Hunza Kunji*, referring to the highest peak in the massif where the four rivers are born

- the role in the creation story of the two great mountains that dominate, with a very intriguing geometry, the Hunza valley, namely the Hunza Kunji and the Rakaposhi

- the role in the creation story of the great chasm that separates the Hunza valley from the region of Gilgit

- a new geographic identification of the *Apsu*, which also provides additional arguments for the origin of the Sumerians from the heart of Asia

2. Geographical information from Sumerian sources on Eden

We have looked at several Sumerian-Akkadian sources on the “creation” event and its location, including the following ones:

- the so called “cylinder of Nippur”, discovered in Nippur (about 80 km SE of Babylon) by American archaeologists at the beginning of the 20th century. The cylinder, whose surface is partly ruined (out of 320 lines only 170 can be read) has
been dated at the first half of the third millennium BC, possibly the 27th century BC. A first tentative translation was given in 1918 by professor George A. Barton [14]. Due to unresolved difficulties in making sense of such text, it has been left out of later collections of texts on the origins, like those by Kramer [15], Bottero and Kramer [16], later referred to as B&K, and Pettinato [17]. For this work we have used the revised version of Barton’s translation proposed by C. O’ Brien [18] and by C. and B. O’ Brien [19], this last one later referred to as B&B. C. O’ Brien was a geologist, author of important monographs on the orogenesis of the Zagros and the Rocky Mountains. Having become fascinated with the ancient Middle East history during the many years he spent in Iran and Mesopotamia, he devoted much of his time (most of the last 40 years of his long life) to the study of Hebrew, Akkadian and Sumerian. Working with his wife, he was able to provide new translations of several problematic texts (including Genesis and the Phaistos disk), developing a new theory on the identity of the “gods” in ancient religions and traditions.

- The *Enuma Elish* (*when over there…*), or Epic of Creation, in the translation of B&K. First published by Smith [20] in 1875, this initially incomplete text was in time integrated by several findings in different places; an almost complete text is given in B&K. It is believed that the present form of the epics was written in the 12th century BC

- The *Atrahasis* (*The great wise man*), which is a fundamental text also for the discussion of the Flood and of another catastrophe, before the Flood, involving a great epidemics. This poem may be dated in the present version at least to the 17th century BC.

- The so called *Bilingual Story* of human creation, see B&K section 39, dated at least to the 12th century BC

- *Nergal and Ereshkigal*, a story of relations and travels between the region of the gods and the underworld. The story has come to us in two versions, one usually dated at the paleo-babylonian time, circa the 18th century, the second one coming from a private library in Sultan Tepe has been dated at the 8th century BC.

Except for the cylinder of Nippur, we have used the translations in B&K.

The Sumerian story of the creation is much more complex, structured and informative than the story in Genesis. Here it is not the place for a thorough comparison of the two stories. For the further discussion, here we state our working hypothesis that the ancient documents that we consider are based upon real events, whose memory has survived, albeit with transmission errors in the oral and written versions and in the choice of the words. We will strive to find the invariant and significant elements surviving in the texts. With the specific reference to the differences between Genesis and the Sumerian-Akkadian text, our opinion is that the two stories refer basically to the same event, but from the point of view of two distinct lines of transmission: the line of the
descendants of Adam and Eve, who survived the Flood in the eastern Anatolia region of Urartu, and the line of the descendants of the prediluvian Sumerians, who before the Flood lived in cities in Central Asia (in another work [21] we have argued that the mount Nimush where Utnapishtim/Ziusudra survived should be identified with the Anye Machen massif, near the sources of the Yellow River). In other terms, it is our belief that Moses did not borrow the creation story from contacts with the Mesopotamian civilization. We think that this story was a common heritage of the descendants of Abraham, thus known not only by the Hebrews but also by the Madianites, where Moses spent many years (the Madianites were most probably descendants of Madian, son of Abraham and Keturah; Jethru, father in law of Moses, lived in Arabia near the city now called Medina, once called Yathrib, a city possibly founded by Madian).

The Sumerian creation story starts with the arrival in a certain place of a group of beings of “divine” nature, the Anunnaki (a word variously interpreted as the great sons of light, the great sons of Anu), with higher knowledge and technical skill than man. The region where they settle lies amid mountains and is called Kursag, also read Kharsag. In this word kur means “mountain”, sag according to B&K has no clear meaning, while according to O&O should mean lofty enclosure, close in meaning to the Genesis gan or paradise= walled enclosure.

The gods descending on Kharsag are a structured group, consisting, from Enuma Elish, of 600 members of the lower Igigi group, of 50 “great gods” and of 7 high chiefs, the gods of destiny. The Igigi appear to be divided sometimes between 300 located at the “sky” and 300 at the “Apsu”. The chief of the Anunnaki in the “sky” region is Enlil, whose name means “Lord of the sky” and also, according to O&O, “Lord of cultivation”). The lord of the Apsu is Enki, whose name means lord of the lowland, and who is a brother of Enlil. A sister of Enlil, living in Kharsag, is Ninlin or Ninkarsag; she plays a fundamental role in the creation of ulla, the modern man. Finally we should quote the father of Enlil, Enki and Ninlin, namely the supreme chief of the gods, Anu. He lives far away “in the sky”, but appears at Kharsag on special occasions.

In Kharsag the Anunnaki become involved in a special project, namely the attempt to make water easily available for agricultural purposes by building canals and in particular by damming a local river. This work is the task of the Igigi, who spend many years on it, without being able to complete it. Tired of a work that they find too heavy, the Igigi rebel against Enlil. To quash the rebellion, Enlil, Enki and Ninlil decide to “create” man, to help in the heavy work of water management and in the agricultural activities. Man is therefore created as a worker, to be compensated with the fruits of the soil. It is intriguing to observe how, according to Pettinato [23], the signs of the zodiac were known by the Sumerians well before they appeared in the other western sources, all with the same name as today, except the first one: aries is a wrong translation, explained by a little difference in the cuneiform script, of the Sumerion words ulla hunga, meaning salaried man. While Pettinato puts the origin of this term at the beginning of an economy where people would be hired for a salary, one might possibly consider also the hypothesis of a reference to the ulla created in Kharsag,
subject to work in change of free vegetarian meals...thus instead of Aries the first sign should be the sign of the first man.

The creation of man, decided by Enlil, is implemented with a complex process well different from the process described in Genesis (but see O&O for a radically different translation of Genesis than the one usually given). The “creation” is realized by a group of Anunnaki, under the direction of Ninlil and with the important help of Enki. The process involves using some vital material from one specially selected male Anunnaki, named Weila in the Atrahasis, Xingu in the Enuma Elish (VI,33), and results in the creation of seven couples. The specific details of the creation are called, in the Bilingual Text ) B&K, text 39), “a secret doctrine, that can be spoken only by experts”. A very important feature of the created man from a theological point of view and definitely going beyond anything stated in Genesis, is contained in the following three lines of Atrahasis, Karsap-Aya text, lines 215-217, B&K p. 571, our English version

Thanks to the divine flesh,

a spirit will be alive in man,

that will be alive even after his death

In the Sumerian texts man continues to work with the gods for a substantial amount of time; no reference is made to a couple being expelled from Kharsag. Kharsag becomes apparently a settlement of model agriculture, with a dam and irrigation canals, various buildings including the palace of Enlil, the Ekur, breeding of animals (sheep, goat, cows) and rich orchards (quite curiously the Nippur cylinder, plate 4, claims that some “heavenly” fruit trees could not be cultivated successfully). The settlement thrives, disregarding some problems and fightings among the Anunnaki, for over a couple of thousand years. According to the Atrahasis a first crisis comes after less than 1200 years from “creation”, when an epidemics devastates the settlement. The second crisis comes again less than 1200 years after the epidemics, being the Flood to which Ziusudra-Utnapishtim are survivors. The interval between the creation and the Flood is thus given at circa 2300 years, which agrees very well with the estimate obtainable from the Septuaginta (the time when the first ten Patriarchs, from Adam to Noah, lived is circa 2600 years; since Noah outlived the Flood by about 300 years the estimates are close).

It is not here the place to comment in detail the creation story, see Appendix 2 for a brief discussion of possible interpretations.

• Kharsag is a settlement among the mountains, as its name says. The palace of Enlil is called Ekur, i.e. “palace of the mountains”. The place if often contrasted with the
lowland controlled by Enki, the region of the Apsu, and with the region controlled by Ereshkigal, the underworld. Such geographical data agree with our identification of the Garden of Eden as the high Hunza valley, but of course would also agree with very many other mountain places.

- The lord of the Anunnaki is Enlil. He would correspond to Yahweh as lord of the Elohim, if we could consider the world *elohim*, which is definitely a plural, as referring to a plurality of higher beings, and so not be, as in the standard interpretation of the three main monotheistic religions, a “*pluralis majestatis*”. Now Enlil has another name, albeit less common, namely *enzu*, meaning *Lord of knowledge*, see O&O, p. 68. The phonetic analogy between Enzu and Hunza suggests that the present name Hunza, whose meaning as far as we know is unknown to anthropologists and to linguists, preserves the memory of the ancient god who according to the Sumerian texts presided there to the “creation” event. It is also a tradition of the Hunza people that they entered the valley only relatively recently from the west, i.e. from Badakshan (but Mandel conjectures that the tribes in the high Kashmir may be remnants of people from the Indus civilization, who fled the Arian invasion, see [41]). They also believe that some of their ancestors were Alexander the Great soldiers, quite a possibility since Alexander spent three years in Bactriana and Sogdiana, the regions of the Persian empire that gave the strongest resistance to his army. We may therefore surmise that the Hunza valley, which can be accessed with great difficulty from the south, remained empty of people for a long time after the Flood, but that the memory of its unique place in human history was preserved by the surrounding people, especially those living in the valleys more easily accessible via the Kilik, Mintaka and Khunjerab passes. Evidence of transit between Gilgit and the Khunjerab pass was amply obtained during the works of construction of the Karakorum highway, when thousand of petroglyphs showing human figures were found, the most ancient having been dated to the fourth millennium BC, see Uhlig [43]. The first evidence that the Hunza river valley constituted the southern branch of the silk road was obtained in 1942 by the great explorer Aurel Stein, then an octogenarian, who found petroglyphs he dated at the second millennium BC.

- Kharsag is a fertile land, but requires substantial and difficult work for irrigation and control of water. Such a work, in the epics, is so tiring that, initially in charge of the Igigi, it leads to their rebellion and hence to the decision to create man, *ullu*, as a worker. This scenario fits perfectly the Hunza valley. The Karakorum mountains have plenty of glaciers and snowfields, and there are reasons to believe that they were more extended several thousand years ago (the creation story may be set at circa 5500 BC on reasons that cannot be developed here; such estimate by the way already appears in a fragment of Julius Africanus *Chronography*, preserved by the 8th century Byzantine writer Georgius Syncellus; Africanus also gives 2262 years from Adam to the Flood, in excellent agreement with the estimate from Atrahasis). However the bottom valleys are usually very dry, the monsoons (we are close to their northern limit) discharging mainly on the high mountains. Due to this lack of rain the Hunza people have built a complex system of canals, called “*kuls*”, of several km length, depth and widths about one meter, crossing rocky obstacles via tunnels.
Perhaps more importantly the Hunza river flows in a deeply excavated bed, in some places over two hundred meters deep. The river effectively divides the Hunza valley into two separate regions, inhabited by different tribes speaking different dialects, having different characters and adhering to different professions of Islam (one sunni, the other ismaelite). The great and difficult work of the Igigi before their rebellion makes much sense in this context, as a project to dam the Hunza river in the eastern part of the valley to provide easier access to water for irrigation. One may surmise that if any archaeological evidence will ever come of Eden in Hunza, it will be in the form of traces of the dam built along the river (albeit there is the strong possibility that nothing was left after the Flood). Finally, a line in Atrahasis, l/25, suggests that canalization work was not limited to the Hunza valley, but also was done along the Mintaka river (referred as Tigris), hence in the Karakol. This statement suggests that the expansion from Kharsag/Hunza was in the northern direction, leading, via the valley of the Mintaka, to the great basin of the Takla Makan and Lop Nor that, as we will now argue, was at that time filled with water and constituted the Apsu.

We will discuss later from the Enoch texts geographical evidence pointing to two great and special mountains that dominate the Hunza valley from both sides of the river. We will now look at other geographical features, associated with Kharsag but lying at some distance.

The main region associated with Kharsag and generally with the prediluvian world is the Apsu, under the control of Enki. Here are some of the features of the Apsu; additional ones could certainly be obtained by a fuller search of the Sumerian literature:

- the Apsu is characterized by being a basin of sweet water. We establish that its waters are sweet by its name (AP=AB=A= water in Persian, Sanskrit and Sumerian; SU = sweet, good, in Sanskrit) and by the explicit statement (lines 75-79 of poem 4 in B&K, Enki in Nippur) that carps lived in its waters

- the prediluvian city of Eridu was built on the border of Apsu. This statement is intriguing because the excavated Eridu in Mesopotamia lies about 200 km from the sea line (it is believed that the sea line has not changed much since Sumerian times)

- the Apsu, where Enki settled, is also associated with the region of Dilmun/Tilmun, defined to be a place of “purity and light”, see poem 5, Enki and Ninhursag, in B&K. Dilmun is also stated to be located beyond the sea, where the sun rises. It is also the place where Ziusudra/Utnapishtim, the survivor of the Flood, settled, see poem 46 in B&K, based on a tablet found in Nippur.

The second geographical region associated with the Kharsag is the underworld, where the goddess Ereshkigal, sister of Enlil and Enki, is the lord. A visit to the underworld is described in the poem Nergal and Ereshkigal, n. 26 in B&K. Among the features of the underworld:
• it is dark, sunshine does not reach there

• one can go there, but to return is almost impossible

• it is connected with the “gate of Anu, Enlil and Ea (Enki)” by a “long stair of the sky”.

We give now an interpretation of the Apsu and the underworld that agrees very well with the geography of that part of Central Asia where the Hunza valley is located. First, we identify the Apsu with the huge inner sea that until a few thousand years ago filled the presently desertic depressions of Takla Makan and Lop Nor, the first a desert with great sandy dunes, the second a steppe type desert full of salty flats. It is a recent fundamental discovery based upon the analysis of satellites pictures, due to the Turkish geomorphologist Eroz Orgul, see Pittman and Ryan [24], that the said deserts were filled with water for a substantial depth until about the second millennium BC. The “creation” event being datable at the sixth millennium BC, we would then be in presence of a substantial water basin, of the order one million square km, in the very heart of Asia, surrounded by the Kunlun range in the south, by the Pamir-Tienshan in the west and north, by the Nanshan in the east. All these are mighty mountain ranges, reaching in many places over 6000 meters. Further we should notice:

• the waters, stated in the texts to be sweet, are expected to have been so, since they probably formed in the tenth millennium BC at the time of the rapid worldwide melting of glaciers, in this case of the glaciers over the Tibetan plateau and the surrounding great chain of mountains; the water produced by such melting had no outlet to the ocean and accumulated in the Takla Makan and Lop Nor basin. Additional water of celestial origin may have arrived later from planet Mars if the catastrophic scenarios developed by Velikovsky [3], De Grazia [4] and Ackerman [7,8] are correct. The main catastrophe after “creation” has been the Flood, that most probably implied some tsunamic invasion of continents by salty oceanic waters. The Takla Makan and Lop Nor basin are far inside the Asian continent and well protected by high mountain ranges against tsunamic event, a fact that suggests that the origin of the water of Apsu was mainly not oceanic (against for instance the origin of the waters of the Caspian sea). A limited amount of oceanic water may have reached there and contributed to the salt found in the flats of the Lop Nor.

• the basin surface, viewed from the surrounding high mountains, was very low, thousand meters below the mountain ridges, thereby giving to Apsu the additional meaning of “abyss” or “subterranean sea”

• the basin, being located far from the oceans and being moreover surrounded by high mountains, was bound to be a dry area, where evaporation would greatly exceed the amount of water brought by rains. Thus the Apsu was destined to disappear in time and its level would significantly drop even over a moderate span of time. This fact
would explain several passages in the Sumerian texts where irrigation works play a fundamental role in the economy of the land

- the dry weather would nicely explain the qualification of Dilmun as a place of especially luminous sky. This quality is not true for most days in Mesopotamia, which is affected very often by haze due to the humidity coming from the Persian Gulf and by dust flown from the western deserts of Arabia Deserta. The same consideration holds for the often proposed identification of Dilmun with Bahrein (notice that an eastern location was considered by already Kramer, who suggested the Indus-Sarasvati basin). It is however reasonable that in postdiluvian times the name Dilmun would be given to some eastern land with which trade was possible by ships. There are indeed indications of contacts by sea with far away lands in the first, second and third millennium BC, see [33], i.e.:
  - a Lagash tablet, circa 2500 BC, refers to ships from Dilmun with a cargo of wood
  - a document of circa 1800 BC refers to an expedition to get copper in Dilmun
  - Sargon of Assyria, end of 8th century BC, receives gifts from the king of Dilmun

It is our hypothesis that the Sumerians, who called themselves black heads (which is exactly the name the Tibetans give to themselves, bod pa, as stated in the books of Alexandra David-Néel) lived in the Apsu-Dilmun region before the Flood, survived the Flood in the Anye Machen region, near Dilmun or part of Dilmun, and then moved to Mesopotamia probably by the way of India; some of them may have remained there (we have in mind the Pani, an ancient Indian population involved in maritime trade; remember that boat technology had to be well developed in the Apsu region!).

- from the Flood story in the epics of Gilgamesh, see poem 48 in B&K, we deduce that Utnapishtim (Ziusudra in older Sumerian stories) leaves his city of Shurrupak, descends to the Apsu and builds there his boat. This is an indication in our scenario that the prediluvian Shurrupak was located at some distance from the basin filling the Takla Makan and Lob Nor depressions, a possible indication that substantial lowering of the Apsu had taken place since the construction of the city. In the Poem of Erra (n. 51 in B&K) we have the intriguing statement that the city of Sippar, where Utnapishtim hid important books in a safe place before the Flood, escaped the destruction by water during the Flood but was otherwise devastated, apparently by earthquake

of Sippar, ancient city

whose territory the Lord of the Earth
preserved from the Flood,

against the will of Shamash, its lord,

you destroyed both the walls and their foundations

Since the Flood must have implied a uniform rise of the waters of the Apsu, we deduce that Sippar was located higher than Shurrupak from the shoreline of the Apsu, hence it was probably built before (under the hypothesis that cities were preferably built near the shoreline of this sweet water basin); thus, while it could escape being flooded, since the rise of the level of the Apsu was limited, it could not escape the global earthquake that must have characterized the Flood event, to be discussed in a forthcoming paper [25].

We conclude this section with our interpretation of the underworld. We have noted that the Hunza valley was historically almost isolated, especially with respect to the access from the south, since the way to Gilgit goes through a deep, narrow and dangerous chasm. We are led to the hypothesis that the underworld refers geographically exactly to the chasm between Hunza and Gilgit. We can see here indeed the following features of the mythological underworld:

- it is dark, being mostly a very narrow canyon 2 to 3 km deep. For most of the day the light of the sun would not reach the bottom. Since the latitude is about 36º, the sun would never be at the zenith

- going down would not be easy, going up would be more difficult

- access to it would require building trails along very steep mountain sides, very often with stairs indented in the rock, hence the description of the stairway going to the sky. The trail from Gilgit to Hunza was known before construction of the modern road as the “trail of bridges”, due to the many narrow and dangerous rope bridges needed to pass from one side to the other of the steep almost impassable valley walls.

We note that in the Enochian texts, see next section, the underworld is a place of punishment not for man but for the Angels/Watchers, who violated their duties by copulating with human females and providing man with information not to be divulged. In the Enochian underworld there is fire, and rivers of fire are seen by Enoch during his trip to this region. Here we notice that the chasm between Hunza and Gilgit lies in the western Karakorum (black rocks) reaches, mainly consisting of granite, a very ancient
volcanic rock. We have been unable to ascertain whether the region contains recently deposited volcanic rock. The chasm lies however also south of the Rakaposhi mountain, at which basis hot water sources are found, see [32]. They indicate a magmatic activity going on not too deeply, a sign of possible surface magmatic events before the Flood.


As said before, the book of Enoch, considered by St Augustin to be of “hoary antiquity”, respected and cited by the early Church Fathers, was not included in the Canon of the Christian Church, which meant its doom and oblivion. The last quotation in ancient times was by Syncellus, circa 800 AD. The book however survived on the periphery of Christianity, particularly in Ethiopia, where many ancient documents and traditions escaped the ostracism that affected them in the former Roman world. Two copies of the Enoch book were found and brought to Europe by the great Scottish explorer and leader of Masonry James Bruce, who entered Ethiopia from Massaua in 1760 and left it by the way of the Blue Nile and Egypt in 1733, see [26]. Other versions of Enoch book, the so called Slavic Enoch, have been found in five manuscripts in monasteries of Serbia and Russia, the oldest dating at the 15th century. The origin of these Slavic books is obscure. They might have been preserved by some Jewish scholars (fragments of Enoch have also been found in Qumram) or may have arrived from Armenia, another region escaping the control of the Roman church, via the Bogomils, who from their first settlement in Bulgaria spread throughout the Balkans (wherefrom they may have influenced the Cathars and the Templars). The Slavic Enoch is much smaller, about one third, than the Ethiopian Enoch (which seems to contain also material from the once independent books of Noah and Methuselah). Scholars usually value the Slavic Enoch as the closest to the original text. The best known translation is still the one made by Charles in 1896. We have used the translation into Italian of all Enoch books recently provided by Pincherle [28]. We indicate by EE the Ethiopian Enoch, by ES the Slavic Enoch.

Enoch is the seventh in the line of the ten prediluvian Patriarchs (Adam, Seth, Enos, Cainan, Malaleel, Jared, Enoch, Methuselah, Lamech, Noah); notice that the span of time covered by these Patriarchs is given in the Septuaginta as about 2630 years; since Noah survived the Flood by about 300 years, this provides about 2300 years between the “creation” and the Flood, as in the Atrahasis.

Some data about the life of Enoch are the following:
he lives in a place called Acuzan (ES, 54/2), possibly, from the interpretation in O&O, in the valley of the river Dan, south of Mount Hermon, in Palestine

- he is a man of justice and knowledge and he can write; his name, “Hanukk” means “the initiated” and he is called by his people “Enoch the scribe”. Here we should notice that forms of writing predating the earliest official written texts (Egyptian hieroglyphic and Sumerian cuneiform texts) by at least two thousand years have been found both in the Balkans (on polished bones) and in the middle Yellow River valley (engraved on tombstones and in the special writing that until a couple of generations ago was still used, but only by women, who also had their own special language, remembering the female-only language *eme sal* of the Sumerians). A tradition preserved by the Persian historian Al Tabari [31] maintains that Adam was able to write

- during Enoch life, 200 “angels”, also called “watchers”, descend on Mount Hermon, led by Shemyaza. These angels are attracted by the most beautiful of the human females. They seduce them and take them as wives. Their children are “giants” of an evil nature; they attack man, even eat their flesh, create immense disturbance. Then the High Lord decides to punish them, sending against them the archangel Michael. In vain the watchers try to enlist the help of the righteous Enoch to avoid their punishment. They are defeated, lose their freedom and are relegated to a hellish prison, full of fire, to await their final judgment. This story, amplly developed in Enoch, is only hinted to in Genesis, where it is said that the Nephilim took women as wives and generated giants

- one day he is visited by two tall and shining beings, who take him by a special craft to the dominion of the High Lord. During the trip – which has been variously interpreted as a vision or an actual space trip – he has visions both of earth features from the high and of the surroundings of our planet. He enters the splendid palace of the Lord and meets the Lord himself. There he is taught many things about angels, archangels and the future of mankind, including the Flood

- after his return, he writes what he has seen and was taught in 366 books (ES 23/6), given to his son Methuselah (a successor of Seth in the order of Melchisedek according to ethiopian sources). These books will remain secret till the end of human times (ES 33/11) and will survive the Flood (ES 33/12). We may refer here to the theory of Pincherle [39] that they have been hidden inside the Great Pyramid of Giza; notice that Duranti [29] has claimed on astronomical considerations that at least the lower part of the Great Pyramid was completed by the year 3440 BC (about 250 years before our estimated date for the Flood).

- Enoch is taken again to “the sky” in a second trip, never to return to his people; he is therefore, with Eliah, one of the two persons in the Bible who are claimed not to have died on earth. He is however once visited in his new abode by his son Methuselah on the occasion of the birth of Noah (Noah was born with white hair,
shining eyes and a big body; his father Lamech was scared and afraid that he might be the son of a Watcher; Enoch tells Methuselah that Lamech should not worry, that Noah is his son and is destined to save mankind from the Flood).

It is of course very difficult to deal with a text like Enoch, arrived to us in versions very late with the respect to the described events and certainly seriously affected by later revisions. It is also quite a problem to decide which sense to give to a text involving contacts with angels, of both good and evil nature, and the meeting with the High Lord after what at face value appears to be a space travel. Most commentators would give to the Enoch texts only a symbolic, allegorical value, but see O&O for an interpretation in realistic terms.

Now we will look at some geographical features from the “aerial travel” of Enoch, concerning our identification of Eden/Kharsag/Paradise with the Hunza valley. The following lines (EE, 26/1-5) are of special interest

1 - From there I reached the center of earth and saw a blessed place with trees in bloom

2- There I saw a holy mountain; east of it a river was flowing to the south

3- To the east I saw a high mountain; a deep and narrow valley was between the two mountains

4 - West of this mountain was a lower mountain, with a deep and dry valley between the three mountains

5 - The valley was deep, narrow, only hard rock, without trees.

This is our interpretation in the framework of the Hunza area complex:

1 – The blessed place with trees is the Hunza valley, identified with the Garden of Eden; the definition “center of the world” is not inappropriate, being this area the place where four great rivers are born and four mighty mountain ranges converge. If an airship would approach Earth from space, this region would certainly come to special attention due to these features!

2 - The holy mountain west of a river can be identified with the Pasu Group, dominating the massif where the four rivers are born. The highest peak here, in a series of peaks of almost the same height, is called Hunza Kunji. According to Maraini [30], “kunshi” is a much used Tibetan word meaning “the creator of all”(the standard spelling, has noted Vogliotti to us, would be kungzhi, meaning the first cause, the original nature, the spirit).
From our previous identification of the meaning of Hunza, we can thus surmise for “Hunza Kunji” the meaning “The Lord of knowledge, the creator of all”, this being a reference, in the Sumerian context, to Enlil, if not Anu. Thus within the context of the Sumerian tales, this mountain might be identified with the mountain of assembly, the place where Anu descended when he had to attend emergency meetings.

3 - The highest peak in the east can be identified with the Rakaposhi, that dominates in height a group of several tall mountains. This mountain has a pyramidal shape, dominates the valley especially when seen by people who descend from the northern passes (Mintaka, Kilik), is white shining being covered with ice, was considered not climable for many years (it was climbed the first time in 1958). It is considered sacred by the Hunza people, who call it with the name Duma ni, meaning “necklace of clouds”. We propose the etymology “border of the people of God”, from the relations POSHI=border (root PSH in Pishon, Peshawar…), KA=people, RA=God.

4,5 – The narrow and deep valley may be related to the chasm between Hunza and Gilgit, that we discussed in relation with the underworld, closed on the southern side by much lower mountains, on the north by the Rakaposhi. We already noticed that the presence of sources of hot water on the way to the Rakaposhi suggests past volcanic activity. This would explain several passages in the Enochian texts about fire in the region that he visited.

Conclusion

Our analysis has shown a compatibility between the geography in the Sumerian sources about Kharsag and the Enochian information about Paradise, with the location that we have previously suggested for the Garden of Eden described in the Bible book of Genesis. Our approach is based upon the hypothesis that the considered texts preserve a memory of real events. Further research should include a fuller search of the Sumerian-Akkadian sources and of Jewish sources (Qumram, Talmud, Midrash, Legends). Moreover it would be extremely important to look at any surviving traditions among the people in the Karakorum-Hindukush-Pamir-Kunlun region. Some of these people, e.g. the so called kafiri of the Kafiristan region between Pakistan and Afghanistan, have been isolated for millennia; their contacts with the outside world have often been violent and only a small part of their heritage has probably survived.
Appendix 1. Who were the Anunnaki?

In Genesis we find two names associated with divinity, Elohim and Yahveh, the first being a plural, meaning “the shining ones”, but usually translated as a singular, via the pluralis majestatis interpretation, hence as another name of God = Yahveh. In the books of Enoch we are presented with Angels and Archangels (with detailed classification of their role in the celestial abode), fallen Angels and the Lord of the High. In the Sumerian texts we meet the Annunaki, Great Sons of the Light, with their tripartite division.

The question naturally arises about who are the “god, gods” of the considered texts. We just consider a list of some possible answers:

1. The positivistic answer, dominating the scientific and academic world: the gods are inventions of the religious authorities, who have to provide an answer to the eternal questions: who we are? where do we come from? What will happen of us after death?

2. The answer of the modern religions, in particular the three monotheistic religions of Hebraism, Christianity and Islam: the unique God has directly operated on Earth, possibly with the help of his Angels, to create man, a union of a physical body and a spiritual soul. From this answer the rejection follows of the detailed interactions between man and gods appearing in the “pagan” religions, unless the “gods” are interpreted as devils. Hence the systematic destruction of ancient documents by Christians (the bonfire of the magician books in Tarsus by Paul; a first destruction of the Alexandria library under Theodosius; the bonfires of the Mayan codices in Mexico by Diego de Landa; the destruction of almost all the 8000 rongorongo tablets still existing in Easter Island last century, only 21 are left…) and by Islam (the final destruction of the Alexandria library in the 7th century; the devastation of the Hindu temples and libraries in northern India; the destruction in Asir of the holy place of the cult of Al Ais by Ibn Saud at the beginning of the 20th century ….).

3. The hypothesis of authors as Collins [37] or Hancock [38] that the “gods” are deified human beings, survivors of a previous higher civilization destroyed by a catastrophe, typically the civilization of Atlantis dated at the time set by Plato, circa 9500 BC.

4. The hypothesis of O&O, amply developed in the substantial monograph [19], where the traces of the Shining Ones are followed throughout the world, that the “gods” are superior beings, originating from what a school of Sikh mystics calls “causal and astral regions”. These beings are deemed to have visited the Earth in the period between the end of the last glaciation and the first millennium BC. Man would be the result of a hybridization process between such beings and the pre-existing Cro Magnon man. Agriculture would have been the result of their teaching.
5. It is a thesis of De Grazia [5] that “gods” exist in many places of the universe, this term defining classes of intelligent beings, a class endowed with higher intelligence being considered of “gods” by a less endowed class. The “gods” of ancient traditions might therefore be interpreted as visitors to our planet from another planet with more advanced intelligent life. A further step can be taken within the scenario developed by Ackerman [7,8], who describes the birth of Venus a few millennia ago in the context of catastrophic interactions with Earth and Mars. Ackerman posits that Mars was previously within the habitable zone, wherefrom it was expelled by Venus, reaching its present orbit around 700 BC after several close interactions with Earth, as independently argued before by Velikovsky [3] and De Grazia [4]. He assumes that Mars had water, a significant atmosphere and life; this biosphere would have been lost during the catastrophic events that affected Mars before it settled in its present orbit in a non habitable zone. If we assume that life included also intelligent life more advanced than the level reached at that time by man, then two hypothesis should be considered naturally:

- That the intelligent beings in Mars, possessing sufficiently advanced space technology, left Mars towards the habitable planet of another star with parameters similar to those characterizing Mars (even a very advanced civilization would be impotent vis-à-vis a collision or quasi collision with another planet); the usual objection against interstellar travel due to the speed of light limit is no more valid, see Van Flandern [42].

- A small number of the Mars people visited Earth in several occasions, and particularly at the time of the catastrophic events in the period of the Venus-Mars close passages (albeit Earth was affected less than Mars). They improved man by hybridization, taught various techniques and laws of social organization, possibly even brought from Mars plants not previously existing on Earth. Then they left when the catastrophic time ended, circa 700 BC.

6. As a variation of the above scenario which does not assume presence of advanced intelligent life on Mars but on one or more planets of stars in our part of the galaxy, one may hypothesize that the very special events that affected the solar system for several thousand years, described by Velikovsky, De Grazia and Ackerman, may have attracted to the solar system visitors from one of such inhabited planet. These visitors inter alia would have interacted with man via genetic modifications (of the type we are close to be able to implement ourselves) and cultural influence. It should be noted that intelligent beings with a scientific development just a few hundred (but it could be many millennia or million ) years more advanced than ours should be capable at least of the following feats:

- full knowledge of DNA and capacity of modifying it, obtaining e.g. a longer span of life

- detailed knowledge of the planetary systems in the galaxy
capacity of travelling to other planetary systems, either at superluminal speed (if this fact is not physically impossible) or at close to the light speed; in such a case the long span of life would make the time of travel not the big problem that now makes such trips out of reach of man.

Appendix 2. One Eve or seven Eves?

According to Genesis, one couple is created in the Garden of Eve: Adam first, then Eve, by a curious process that involves a rib of Adam. Eve is called "flesh of the flesh" of Adam. There are worldwide several traditions of mankind descending from a single couple and it is sometimes specified, e.g. for the primordial couple of Fuxi and Nawa, referred to in the Chinese Annals of Su Machien, that they were twins.

Just as an intriguing thought, let us note the following facts about the creation of Eve as described in Genesis:

- Ribs are among the human bones with the highest content of staminal cells, which are clonable

- a normal clone of Adam would be another male; now a male has a Y and an X chromosome, while a female has two X chromosomes; hence it would appear within reach of genetic engineering that a female cell could be obtained from two male cells, substituting the Y chromosome of one with a second X from the other cell. Creating a male cell from female cells would be a much more complex genetic engineering feat.

In the Sumerian tale, seven couples are created. It is another intriguing observation that from recent mitochondrial analysis, see Sikes [35], the population of at least Europe and the Mediterranean region can be clustered into seven groups, each one deriving from a single female ancestor. However these women ancestors are not dated at the same time, so it is not possible to straightforwardly identify then with the seven women of the creation in Kharsag (albeit it must be said that the dating of the ancestor is very tentative, based upon some tentative extrapolations from mutation rates in fast breeding animals; it could be completely wrong under the catastrophic scenario of recent evolution of the solar system developed by Velikovsky, De Grazia and Ackerman).

A striking difference between the Genesis and the Sumerian creation story is the presence of one couple versus seven couples. A possible way out of this problem is that the woman of one couple died and was substituted by a newly "created" younger woman, Eve, a clone of Adam. The new couple felt to be special, aimed at a special role within the
small community, was envied by the others, and was finally expelled. That Adam had a previous wife before Eve is actually stated in some Jewish ancient traditions, where such a woman is actually given the name *Lilith* and is considered as a demoness.

Appendix 3: The location of the prediluvian Sumerian cities

Our discussion of Apsu has taken us to times much later than the creation event. We know from Sumerian texts that several cities existed before the Flood (three gods are even claimed to have reigned in Bad Tibira for 108,000 years, see [40]); we also know from Genesis that cities were build and metallurgy had been developed in the land settled by the descendents of Cain, the land of *Nod*, that we in [1] identified with the heart of Asia. From the previous scenario and our work in [1] we are led to a tentative identification of the location of the following prediluvian cities of the Sumerian tradition:

- Uruk is the same as biblical Ashur, the “city of Asia”; thus it is possibly present Tashkurgan in the Karakol
- Nippur, being associated with the Ekur, the mountain palace of Enlil, was probably the main settlement in the Hunza valley
- Bad Tibira was located in Badakshan, at a place convenient for trade and for working the lapis lazuli of the Blue Mountain (and possibly work of the jade imported from the region of Khotan)
- Shurrupak was in present Xinjiang, probably at some distance from the southern shore of the Apsu
- Sippar was also in Xinjiang, or possibly near the flooded basin of Tsaidam, in Xinghai.

Despite the immense devastation brought by the Flood, it is not impossible that remains of these cities may be found. These cities were anyway reconstructed in Mesopotamia, the place where after the Flood the Sumerians migrated (from Dilmun). The well known ruins of these cities lie over a sterile layer of alluvial sand, first discovered by Woolley, below which are found remains of cities of a different civilization, the Ubaitic one, also present in Bahrein and in the Arabian peninsula. One should observe that Central Asia is still an almost virgin land for archaeological research. The recent
discoveries of huge cities of the first/second millennium BC in Xinjiang (Loulan, Miran…) and of the third millennium BC in Turkmenistan and Tagikistan (in the so called BAM, Bactriana/Margiana complex) suggest that we are approaching an important revision of the established scenarios on the historical/geographical development of civilization.

References


[21] E. Spedicato, Numerics and Geography of Gilgamesh Travels, Report DMSIA Miscellanea 1/00, University of Bergamo, 2000 (also in Migration and Diffusion, 1/6 and 1/7, 2001)


[26] J. Bruce, Travels and Discoveries in Abyssinia, Nimmo and Mitchell, Edinburgh, circa 1880

[28] M. Pincherle, Enoch, il primo libro del mondo, Macro Edizioni, 2000


[31] Al Tabari, I Profeti e i Re, Guanda, 1993


[33] Hindusnet, www.hindusnet, People called Martu


[36] F. Vinci, Da Omero nel Baltico al paradiso indoeuropeo e al giardino dell’ Eden, Archeomisteri 1, 50-69, 2002

[37] A. Collins, From the Ashes of Angels, the Forbidden Legacy of a Fallen Race, Signet Book, 1997

[38] G. Hancock, Fingerprints of the Gods, Crown, 1995


[40] text W-B-144, quoted in Sitchin, The Twelfth Placet, Stein and Day, 1976

[41] H. Mandel, La civiltà della valle dell’ Indo, Sugarco, Milano, 1976

[42] T. Van Flandern, Speed of gravity result interests the UFO community, Meta Research Bulletin, 12,2, 18-20, 2003

Acknowledgements

Work partly supported by MAF and Fondi Ateneo 2002. Thanks are due to Antonio Agriesti, Michele Manher and Guido Vogliotti for useful comments.
The Sumerian people were also, it can be reasoned, ethnically isolated; referring to themselves as the sag gigga (â€œblack-headed peopleâ€), they appear to have had no concept of race. And figuring out what their ethnic identity might have been based on their art is a doomed effort, because their art was so stylized that a good case could be made that it portrays people of any ethnicity. Culturally, theyâ€™re often linked to the Maâ€™dan (Marsh Arabs) who still live in southern Iraq. But the idea that the Maâ€™dan are ethnically Sumerian seems a bit unlikely, as the Sumerian language was not Semitic an