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Oversight mechanisms work together to hold judges and judiciary staff responsible for their conduct as government officials and for the management of public resources. Accountability is a core value of the federal judiciary, as stated in the Strategic Plan for the Federal Judiciary, encompassing: stringent standards of conduct; self-enforcement of legal and ethical rules; good stewardship of public funds and property; and. effective and efficient use of resources. Federal Judiciary oversight mechanisms deter and prevent fraud, waste, and abuse, and address mistakes should they occur. An annual report on the Judicial Business of the United States Courts includes information on all judicial complaints recently filed, terminated, and pending.